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#### Abstract

Let $E: y^{2}=x\left(x-a^{2}\right)\left(x+b^{2}\right)$ be an elliptic curve with full 2torsion group, where $a$ and $b$ are coprime integers and $2\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)$ is a square. Assume that the 2-Selmer group of $E$ has rank two. We characterize all quadratic twists of $E$ with Mordell-Weil rank zero and 2-primary Shafarevich-Tate groups $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$, under certain conditions. We also obtain a distribution result of these elliptic curves.


## 1. Introduction

In [Wan16], the first author used Cassels pairing to characterize all congruent elliptic curves $y^{2}=x^{3}-n^{2} x$ with Mordell-Weil rank zero and second minimal 2primary Shafarevich-Tate group, where all prime divisors of $n$ are congruent to 1

[^0]modulo 4. The goal of this paper is to generalize this result to the quadratic twist of particular elliptic curves with full 2 -torsion.

Let $(a, b, c)$ be a primitive triple of positive integers such that $a^{2}+b^{2}=2 c^{2}$. By elementary number theory, this is equivalent to say,

$$
a=\left|\alpha^{2}-2 \alpha \beta-\beta^{2}\right|, \quad b=\left|\alpha^{2}+2 \alpha \beta-\beta^{2}\right|, \quad c=\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}
$$

for some coprime integers $\alpha, \beta$ with different parities. Denote by

$$
E: y^{2}=x\left(x-a^{2}\right)\left(x+b^{2}\right)
$$

an elliptic curve with full 2-torsion group, and

$$
E^{(n)}: y^{2}=x\left(x-a^{2} n\right)\left(x+b^{2} n\right)
$$

a quadratic twist of $E$, where $n$ is a positive square-free integer. When $a=b=1$, this is just the congruent elliptic curve.
1.1. Rank zero twists. When $n>1$, denote by $\mathcal{A}$ the ideal class group of $K=$ $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-n})$ and

$$
h_{2^{m}}(n):=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}} \mathcal{A}^{2^{m-1}} / \mathcal{A}^{2^{m}}
$$

its $2^{m}$-rank for a positive integer $m$. Denote by $\operatorname{Sel}_{2}\left(E^{(n)} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ the 2-Selmer group of $E^{(n)}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Theorem 1.1 (=Theorems 4.2 and 4.4). Assume that $\operatorname{Sel}_{2}(E / \mathbb{Q}) \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$. Let $n \equiv 1 \bmod 8$ be a positive square-free integer coprime to abc where each prime factor of $n$ is a quadratic residue modulo every prime factor of abc.
(A) If all prime factors of $n$ are congruent to $\pm 1$ modulo 8 , then the following are equivalent:
(1) $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})=0$ and $\amalg\left(E^{(n)} / \mathbb{Q}\right)\left[2^{\infty}\right] \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$;
(2) $h_{4}(n)=1$ and $h_{8}(n)=0$.
(B) If all prime factors of $n$ are congruent to 1 modulo 4 , then the following are equivalent:
(1) $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})=0$ and $\amalg\left(E^{(n)} / \mathbb{Q}\right)\left[2^{\infty}\right] \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$;
(2) $h_{4}(n)=1$ and $h_{8}(n) \equiv \frac{d-1}{4} \bmod 2$.

Here d is the odd part of $d_{0} \mid 2 n$ such that the ideal class $\left[\left(d_{0}, \sqrt{-n}\right)\right]$ is the non-trivial element in $\mathcal{A}[2] \cap \mathcal{A}^{2}$.

Remark 1.2. (1) When $(a, b)=(1,1),(7,23),(23,47),(119,167),(167,223),(287,359)$, we have $\operatorname{Sel}_{2}(E / \mathbb{Q}) \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$.
(2) In Theorem 1.1(B), if $h_{4}(n)=1$, then the non-trivial element in $\mathcal{A}[2] \cap \mathcal{A}^{2}$ is $\left[\left(d_{0}, \sqrt{-n}\right)\right]$ for some positive divisor $d_{0}$ of $2 n$. If $d_{0}^{\prime}$ is another positive divisor of $2 n$ such that $\left[\left(d_{0}, \sqrt{-n}\right)\right]=\left[\left(d_{0}^{\prime}, \sqrt{-n}\right)\right]$, then $d_{0} d_{0}^{\prime}=n$ or $4 n$. See $\S 2.1$.

We will first show that $E_{\text {tor }}^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q}) \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ in $\S 2.2$. In $\S 3$, we will study the local solvability of homogeneous spaces and then express the 2-Selmer group as the kernel of the generalized Monsky matrix $\mathcal{M}_{n}$. Then we will give the proof of Theorem 1.1 in §4. The strategy is similar to [Wan16].
1.2. Distribution. Denote by

- $C_{k}(x)$ the set of positive square-free integers $n \leqslant x$ with exactly $k$ prime factors;
- $\mathscr{Q}_{k}(x)$ the set of $n \in C_{k}(x)$ coprime to $a b c$ such that each prime factor of $n \equiv 1 \bmod 8$ is a quadratic residue modulo every prime factor of $a b c$ and congruent to 1 modulo 4 ;
- $\mathscr{P}_{k}(x)$ the set of all $n \in \mathscr{Q}_{k}(x)$ such that Theorem 1.1(B)(2) holds.

We will use the standard symbols in analytic number theory: " $\sim, \ll, O(\cdot), o(\cdot), \operatorname{Li}(x)$ ", which can be found in [IR90]. The equidistribution property of Legendre symbols in [Rho09] implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# C_{k}(x) \sim \frac{x(\log \log x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!\log x} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.3. Assume that $\operatorname{Sel}_{2}(E / \mathbb{Q}) \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$. Then

$$
\# \mathscr{P}_{k}(x) \sim 2^{-k \ell-k-2}\left(u_{k}+\left(2^{-1}-2^{-k}\right) u_{k-1}\right) \cdot \# C_{k}(x)
$$

where $\ell$ is the number of different prime factors of abc and

$$
u_{k}:=\prod_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant k / 2}\left(1-2^{1-2 i}\right) .
$$

We will use the method in [CO89] to show the equidistribution property of residue symbols in § 5.3 and then use this to prove Theorem 1.3 in § 6 .
1.3. Notations. We will not list the notations appeared above.

- $n=p_{1} \cdots p_{k}$ the prime decomposition of $n$.
- $a b c=q_{1}^{t_{1}} \cdots q_{\ell}^{t_{\ell}}$ the prime decomposition of $a b c$.
- $\operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{t}\right)$ the greatest common divisor of integers $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{t}$.
- $\operatorname{Sel}_{2}^{\prime}\left(E^{(n)}\right)=\operatorname{Sel}_{2}\left(E^{(n)}\right) / E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})[2]$ the pure 2-Selmer group of $E^{(n)}$, see (2.4).
- $D_{\Lambda}$ the homogeneous space associated to a rational triple $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right)$, see (2.2).
- $(\alpha, \beta)_{v}$ the Hilbert symbol, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Q}_{v}^{\times}$.
- $[\alpha, \beta]_{v}$ the additive Hilbert symbol, i.e., the image of $(\alpha, \beta)_{v}$ under the isomorphism $\{ \pm 1\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F}_{2}$.
- $\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)=\prod_{p \mid \beta}(\alpha, \beta)_{p}$ the Jacobi symbol with $p \mid \beta$ counted with multiplicity, where $\operatorname{gcd}(\alpha, \beta)=1$ and $\beta>0$.
- $\left[\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right]$ the additive Jacobi symbol, i.e., the image of $\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)$ under the isomorphism $\{ \pm 1\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F}_{2}$.
- $\mathcal{D}(K)$ the set of positive square-free divisors of $2 n$.
- $\mathbf{0}=(0, \ldots, 0)^{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\mathbf{1}=(1, \ldots, 1)^{\mathrm{T}}$.
- I the identity matrix and $\mathbf{O}$ the zero matrix.
- $\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{A}_{n}$ a matrix associated to $n$, see (3.2).
- $\mathbf{R}_{n}$ the Rédei matrix of $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-n})$, see $(2.1)$.
- $\mathbf{D}_{u}=\operatorname{diag}\left\{\left[\frac{u}{p_{1}}\right], \ldots,\left[\frac{u}{p_{k}}\right]\right\}$.
- $\mathbf{b}_{u}=\mathbf{D}_{u} \mathbf{1}=\left(\left[\frac{u}{p_{1}}\right], \ldots,\left[\frac{u}{p_{k}}\right]\right)$.
- $\mathbf{M}_{n}$ the Monsky matrix associated to $n$, see (3.3).
- $\mathcal{M}_{n}$ the generalized Monsky matrix associated to $E^{(n)}$, see (3.4).
- $I=\sqrt{-1}$.
- $\mathcal{P}$ the set of primary primes of $\mathbb{Z}[I]$ with positive imaginary part.
- $\left(\frac{\alpha}{\lambda}\right)_{2}$ the quadratic residue symbol over $\mathbb{Z}[I]$, see (5.1).
- $\left(\frac{\alpha}{\lambda}\right)_{4}$ the quartic residue symbol over $\mathbb{Z}[I]$, see (5.2).
- $\left(\frac{a}{d}\right)_{4}:=\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right)_{4}$ the rational quartic residue symbol, see (5.3).
- $\Lambda(\mathfrak{a})$ the Mangoldt function, see (5.4).
- $\chi_{0}$ the trivial character modulo a given integral ideal, see §5.2.
- $\psi(x, \chi)=\sum_{\mathbf{N a} \leqslant x} \chi(\mathfrak{a}) \Lambda(\mathfrak{a})$, see (5.5).
- $C_{k}(x, \alpha, \mathbf{B}), C_{k}^{\prime}(x, \alpha, \mathbf{B}), T_{k}(x), T_{k}^{\prime}(x)$ sets associated to $x, \alpha, \mathbf{B}$, see $\S 5.3$.
- $\binom{k}{2}=k(k-1) / 2$ the binomial coefficient.


## 2. Preliminaries

2.1. Gauss genus theory. In this subsection, we will recall Gauss genus theory, which can be found in [Wan16, § 3] for details. For our purpose, assume that $n=p_{1} \cdots p_{k} \equiv 1 \bmod 4$. Denote by $\mathcal{A}$ the ideal class group of $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-n})$. Denote by $\mathcal{D}(K)$ the set of positive square-free divisors of $2 n$. The classical Gauss genus theory tells that

$$
\mathcal{A}[2]=\{[(d, \sqrt{-n})]: d \in \mathcal{D}(K)\} \quad \text { and } \quad h_{2}(n)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}} \mathcal{A}[2]=t-1
$$

Denote by $p_{k+1}=2$ and define the Rédei matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{R}_{n}=\left(\left[p_{j},-n\right]_{p_{i}}\right)_{i, j} \in M_{k \times(k+1)}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2.1 ([Red34]). We have

$$
\begin{array}{rlcc}
\operatorname{Ker} \mathbf{R}_{n} & \sim \mathcal{D}(K) \cap \mathbf{N}_{K / \mathbb{Q}} K^{\times} & \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}[2] \cap \mathcal{A}^{2} \\
\left(v_{p_{1}}(d), \ldots, v_{p_{k+1}}(d)\right) & \longleftrightarrow & \longmapsto & \longmapsto[(d, \sqrt{-n})]
\end{array}
$$

where the second arrow is a two-to-one onto homomorphism with kernel $\{1, n\}$. Hence $h_{4}(n)=k-\operatorname{rank} \mathbf{R}_{n}$.

Proposition 2.2 ([Wan16, Proposition 3.6]). For any $2^{r} d \in \mathcal{D}(K) \cap \mathbf{N}_{K / \mathbb{Q}} K^{\times}$ with odd d, let $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ be a primitive triple of positive integers satisfying

$$
d \alpha^{2}+\frac{n}{d} \beta^{2}=2^{r} \gamma^{2}
$$

Then $\left[\left(2^{r} d, \sqrt{-n}\right)\right] \in \mathcal{A}^{4}$ if and only if

$$
\mathbf{b}_{\gamma}=\left(\left[\frac{\gamma}{p_{1}}\right], \ldots,\left[\frac{\gamma}{p_{k}}\right]\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \in \operatorname{Im} \mathbf{R}_{n}
$$

### 2.2. Torsion subgroup.

Proposition 2.3. For any positive square-free integer $n, E_{\mathrm{tor}}^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q}) \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$.
Lemma 2.4 ([Ono96]). Let $\mathcal{E}: y^{2}=x(x-a)(x+b)$ be an elliptic curve with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$.
(1) $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Q})$ has a point of order 4 if and only if one of the three pairs $(-a, b),(a, a+$ $b)$ and $(-b,-a-b)$ consists of squares of integers.
(2) $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Q})$ has a point of order 3 if and only if there exist integers $d$, $u, v$ such that $\operatorname{gcd}(u, v)=1, d^{2} u^{3}(u+2 v)=-a, d^{2} v^{3}(v+2 u)=b$ and $u / v \notin$ $\{-2,-1 / 2,-1,1,0\}$.

Proof of Proposition 2.3. Since $E^{(n)}$ has full rational 2-torsion, $E_{\text {tor }}^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$. By Mazur's classification theorem [Maz77, Maz78], one have

$$
E_{\text {tor }}^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} / 2 N \mathbb{Z}
$$

for some $N \in\{1,2,3,4\}$. We only need to show that $E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})$ contains no point of order 4 or 3 .

Since the three pairs in Lemma 2.4(1) are $\left(-a^{2} n, b^{2} n\right),\left(a^{2} n, 2 c^{2} n\right)$ and $\left(-b^{2} n,-2 c^{2} n\right)$, $E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})$ contains no point of order 4.

Assume that there are integers $d, u, v$ such that $\operatorname{gcd}(u, v)=1$,

$$
d^{2} u^{3}(u+2 v)=-a^{2} n \quad \text { and } \quad d^{2} v^{3}(v+2 u)=b^{2} n
$$

Clearly, $d^{2}=1$ and $n=\operatorname{gcd}(u+2 v, v+2 u)=\operatorname{gcd}(3, u-v)=1$ or 3. Since $a$ and $b$ are odd, so is $u$, We may assume that $v>0$, then $u<0$. Since $n \mid(u+2 v, v+2 u)$, we may write $v=\alpha^{2}, u=-\beta^{2}$. Then $\left(\alpha^{2}-2 \beta^{2}\right) / n$ and $\left(2 \alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right) / n$ are squares, which is impossible by modulo 8 . Hence $E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})$ contains no point of order 3 by Lemma 2.4(2).
2.3. Cassels pairing. As shown in [Cas98], the 2-Selmer group $\operatorname{Sel}_{2}\left(E^{(n)}\right)$ can be identified with

$$
\left\{\Lambda=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{Q}^{\times} / \mathbb{Q}^{\times 2}\right)^{3}: D_{\Lambda}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}\right) \neq \emptyset, d_{1} d_{2} d_{3} \equiv 1 \bmod \mathbb{Q}^{\times 2}\right\}
$$

where $D_{\Lambda}$ is a genus one curve defined by

$$
\begin{cases}H_{1}: & -b^{2} n t^{2}+d_{2} u_{2}^{2}-d_{3} u_{3}^{2}=0  \tag{2.2}\\ H_{2}: & -a^{2} n t^{2}+d_{3} u_{3}^{2}-d_{1} u_{1}^{2}=0 \\ H_{3}: & 2 c^{2} n t^{2}+d_{1} u_{1}^{2}-d_{2} u_{2}^{2}=0\end{cases}
$$

Under this identification, the points $O,\left(a^{2} n, 0\right),\left(-b^{2} n, 0\right),(0,0)$ and non-torsion $(x, y) \in E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})$ correspond to

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1,1,1),(2,2 n, n),(-2 n, 2,-n),(-n, n,-1) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left(x-a^{2} n, x+b^{2} n, x\right)$ respectively.
Cassels in [Cas98] defined a skew-symmetric bilinear pairing $\langle-,-\rangle$ on the $\mathbb{F}_{2^{-}}$ vector space

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Sel}_{2}^{\prime}\left(E^{(n)}\right):=\operatorname{Sel}_{2}\left(E^{(n)}\right) / E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})[2] \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will write it additively. For any $\Lambda \in \operatorname{Sel}_{2}\left(E^{(n)}\right)$, choose $P=\left(P_{v}\right) \in D_{\Lambda}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$. Since $H_{i}$ is locally solvable everywhere, there exists $Q_{i} \in H_{i}(\mathbb{Q})$ by Hasse-Minkowski principle. Let $L_{i}$ be a linear form in three variables such that $L_{i}=0$ defines the tangent plane of $H_{i}$ at $Q_{i}$. Then for any $\Lambda^{\prime}=\left(d_{1}^{\prime}, d_{2}^{\prime}, d_{3}^{\prime}\right) \in \operatorname{Sel}_{2}\left(E^{(n)}\right)$, define

$$
\left\langle\Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime}\right\rangle=\sum_{v}\left\langle\Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime}\right\rangle_{v} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}, \quad \text { where } \quad\left\langle\Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime}\right\rangle_{v}=\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left[L_{i}\left(P_{v}\right), d_{i}^{\prime}\right]_{v}
$$

This pairing is independent of the choice of $P$ and $Q_{i}$, and is trivial on $E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})[2]$.
Lemma 2.5 ([Cas98, Lemma 7.2]). The local Cassels pairing $\left\langle\Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime}\right\rangle_{p}=0$ if

- $p \nmid 2 \infty$,
- the coefficients of $H_{i}$ and $L_{i}$ are all integral at $p$ for $i=1,2,3$, and
- modulo $D_{\Lambda}$ and $L_{i}$ by $p$, they define a curve of genus 1 over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ together with tangents to it.

Lemma 2.6. The following are equivalent:
(1) $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})=0$ and $\amalg\left(E^{(n)} / \mathbb{Q}\right)\left[2^{\infty}\right] \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2 t}$;
(2) $\operatorname{Sel}_{2}^{\prime}\left(E^{(n)}\right) \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2 t}$ and the Cassels pairing on $\operatorname{Sel}_{2}^{\prime}\left(E^{(n)}\right)$ is nondegenerate.

Proof. Note that $E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})[2]=(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ by Proposition 2.3. The proof is similar to [Wan16, p. 2157].

## 3. 2-DESCENT METHOD

### 3.1. Homogeneous spaces.

Lemma 3.1. Let $n$ be a positive square-free integer prime to $2 a b c$ and $\Lambda=$ $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right)$, where $d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}$ are square-free integers.
(1) If $p \nmid 2 a b c n$, then $D_{\Lambda}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $p \nmid d_{1} d_{2} d_{3}$.
(2) If $D_{\Lambda}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) \neq \emptyset$, then $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ have the same parity.
(3) If both of $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ are odd, then $D_{\Lambda}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if either $4 \mid$ $d_{1}-1,8 \mid d_{1}-d_{2}$ or $4\left|d_{1}+n, 8\right| d_{1}-d_{2}+2 n$.
(4) $D_{\Lambda}(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $d_{2}>0$.

Proof. Certainly, $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right)=1$. Since we are dealing with homogeneous equations, we may assume that $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}$ and $t$ are $p$-adic integers and at least one of them is a $p$-adic unit.
(1) By classical descent theory, see [Sil09, Theorem X.1.1, Corollary X.4.4].
(2) Suppose that $D_{\Lambda}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) \neq \emptyset$. If $2 \mid d_{1}, 2 \nmid d_{2}$, then $2 \mid d_{3}$. We have $2 \mid u_{2}$ by $H_{3}$ and $2 \mid t$ by $H_{1}$. Then $2 \mid u_{3}$ by $H_{1}$ and $2 \mid u_{1}$ by $H_{2}$, which is impossible. The case $2 \nmid d_{1}, 2 \mid d_{2}$ is similar. Hence $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ have the same parity.
(3) If $D_{\Lambda}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) \neq \emptyset$, then both of $u_{1}, u_{2}$ are odd by $H_{3}$ and exactly one of $t$ and $u_{3}$ is even by $H_{2}$. If $t$ is even and $u_{3}$ is odd, then $4\left|d_{1}-d_{3}, 8\right| d_{1}-d_{2}$ by $H_{2} \bmod 4$ and $H_{3} \bmod 8$. Note that if $8 \mid d_{1}-d_{2}$, then $d_{3} \equiv d_{1} d_{2} \equiv 1 \bmod 8$. If $t$ is odd and $u_{3}$ is even, then $4\left|d_{1}+n, 8\right| d_{1}-d_{2}+2 n$ by $H_{2} \bmod 4$ and $H_{3} \bmod 8$.

Conversely, if $4\left|d_{1}-1,8\right| d_{1}-d_{2}$, then $d_{3} \equiv d_{1} d_{2} \equiv 1 \bmod 8$. Take

- $t=0, u_{1}=\sqrt{1 / d_{1}}, u_{2}=\sqrt{1 / d_{2}}, u_{3}=\sqrt{1 / d_{3}}$ if $8 \mid d_{1}-1$;
- $t=2, u_{1}=1, u_{2}=\sqrt{\left(d_{1}+8 c^{2} n\right) / d_{2}}, u_{3}=\sqrt{\left(d_{1}+4 a^{2} n\right) / d_{3}}$ if $8 \mid d_{1}-5$.

If $4\left|d_{1}+n, 8\right| d_{1}-d_{2}+2 n$, take

- $t=1, u_{1}=\sqrt{-a^{2} n / d_{1}}, u_{2}=\sqrt{b^{2} n / d_{2}}, u_{3}=0$ if $8 \mid d_{1}+n$;
- $t=1, u_{1}=\sqrt{\left(4 d_{3}-a^{2} n\right) / d_{1}}, u_{2}=\sqrt{\left(4 d_{3}+b^{2} n\right) / d_{2}}, u_{3}=2$ if $8 \mid d_{1}+n+4$.
(4) Suppose that $D_{\Lambda}(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$. If $d_{2}<0$, then $d_{3}<0$ by $H_{1}$. Thus $d_{1}>0$ by $d_{1} d_{2} d_{3} \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times 2}$ and $d_{1}<0$ by $H_{2}$, which is impossible. Hence $d_{2}>0$. Another direction is trivial.

Assume that $n$ is a positive square-free integer prime to $2 a b c$. By Lemma 3.1 and (2.3), any element of the pure 2-Selmer group $\operatorname{Sel}_{2}^{\prime}\left(E^{(n)}\right)$ has a unique representative $\Lambda=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right)$, where $d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}$ are positive square-free integers dividing nabc. In the rest part of this article, $\Lambda$ is always assumed to be in this form and we will write $\Lambda=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right) \in \operatorname{Sel}_{2}^{\prime}\left(E^{(n)}\right)$ for simplicity.
Lemma 3.2. Let $n$ be a positive square-free integer prime to $2 a b c$ and $\Lambda=$ $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right)$. Let $p$ be a prime factor of $n$. Then $D_{\Lambda}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if

- $\left(\frac{d_{1}}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{d_{2}}{p}\right)=1$, if $p \nmid d_{1}, p \nmid d_{2}$;
- $\left(\frac{2 d_{1}}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{2 n / d_{2}}{p}\right)=1$, if $p \nmid d_{1}, p \mid d_{2}$;
- $\left(\frac{-2 n / d_{1}}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{2 d_{2}}{p}\right)=1$, if $p \mid d_{1}, p \nmid d_{2}$;
- $\left(\frac{-n / d_{1}}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{n / d_{2}}{p}\right)=1$, if $p\left|d_{1}, p\right| d_{2}$.

Proof. Assume that $p \nmid d_{1} d_{2}$, then $p \nmid d_{3}$. If $D_{\Lambda}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) \neq \emptyset$, then $\left(\frac{d_{2} d_{3}}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{d_{1} d_{3}}{p}\right)=1$ by $H_{2}$ and $H_{3}$. That's to say, $\left(\frac{d_{1}}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{d_{2}}{p}\right)=1$. Conversely, if $\left(\frac{d_{1}}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{d_{2}}{p}\right)=1$, then $\left(0, \sqrt{1 / d_{1}}, \sqrt{1 / d_{2}}, \sqrt{1 / d_{3}}\right) \in D_{\Lambda}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$. The rest cases can be proved similarly as in the congruent elliptic curve case, see [HB94, Appendix].

Lemma 3.3. Let $n$ be a positive square-free integer prime to $2 a b c$ and $\Lambda=$ $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right)$. Let $p$ be a prime factor of abc.
(1) If $p \mid a$, then $D_{\Lambda}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if one of the following cases holds:

- $p \nmid d_{2}, p \nmid d_{1},\left(\frac{d_{2}}{p}\right)=1$;
- $p \nmid d_{2}, p \mid d_{1},\left(\frac{d_{2}}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{n}{p}\right)=1$.
(2) If $p \mid b$, then $D_{\Lambda}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if one of the following cases holds:
- $p \nmid d_{1}, p \nmid d_{2},\left(\frac{d_{1}}{p}\right)=1$;
- $p \nmid d_{1}, p \mid d_{2},\left(\frac{d_{1}}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{-n}{p}\right)=1$.
(3) If $p \mid c$, then $D_{\Lambda}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if one of the following cases holds:
- $p \nmid d_{3}, p \nmid d_{1},\left(\frac{d_{3}}{p}\right)=1$;
- $p \nmid d_{3}, p \mid d_{1},\left(\frac{d_{3}}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{n}{p}\right)=1$.

Proof. Let $p$ be a prime factor of $a$.
Suppose that $D_{\Lambda}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) \neq \emptyset$. If $p \mid d_{2}$, then $p$ divides exactly one of $d_{1}$ and $d_{3}$. We may assume that $p \mid d_{1}$ and $p \nmid d_{3}$. Then $p$ divides $u_{3}, t$ by $H_{2}, H_{3}$ and then $u_{2}, u_{1}$ by $H_{1}, H_{2}$. So $p \mid \operatorname{gcd}\left(t, u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)$, which will cause a contradiction. Hence $p \nmid d_{2}$.

Suppose that $p \nmid d_{1}, p \nmid d_{3}$. If $D_{\Lambda}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) \neq \emptyset$, then $\left(\frac{d_{1} d_{3}}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{d_{2}}{p}\right)=1$ by $H_{2}$. Conversely, if $\left(\frac{d_{2}}{p}\right)=1$, then we may take

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{1}=d_{2} / \operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right) \\
& u_{3}^{2}=d_{2}+a^{2} n t^{2} / d_{3} \equiv d_{2} \bmod p \\
& u_{2}^{2}=d_{3}+2 c^{2} n t^{2} / d_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ such that $d_{3}+2 c^{2} n t^{2} / d_{2}$ is a square in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. In fact, if $-2 n d_{3}$ is quadratic residue modulo $p$, then we may take $t=\sqrt{-\frac{d_{2} d_{3}}{2 c^{2} n}}$ and $u_{2}=0$; if $-2 n d_{1}$ is not a quadratic residue modulo $p$, then there exists $t \in\{0,1, \ldots,(p-1) / 2\}$ such that $d_{3}+2 c^{2} n t^{2} / d_{2} \bmod p$ is a nonzero square. Hence $D_{\Lambda}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ is non-empty.

Suppose that $p\left|d_{1}, p\right| d_{3}$. If $D_{\Lambda}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) \neq \emptyset$, then $\left(\frac{d_{2} n}{p}\right)=1$ by $H_{1}$ and $\left(\frac{d_{2}}{p}\right)=1$ by $H_{2}$. Conversely, if $\left(\frac{d_{2}}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{n}{p}\right)=1$, then we may take $t=1$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{1}=d_{2} / \operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right) \\
& u_{3}^{2}=d_{2}+a^{2} n / d_{3} \equiv d_{2} \bmod p \\
& u_{2}^{2}=d_{3}+2 c^{2} n / d_{2} \equiv b^{2} n / d_{2} \bmod p
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $D_{\Lambda}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ is non-empty.
The rest cases can be proved similarly.
Lemma 3.4. Let $n$ be a positive square-free integer prime to $2 a b c$ and $\Lambda=$ $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right)$. If $D_{\Lambda}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}\right) \neq \emptyset$ for all places $v \neq 2$, then $D_{\Lambda}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)$ is also non-empty.

Proof. Since $D_{\Lambda}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}\right) \neq \emptyset$ for all places $v \neq 2$, each $H_{i}$ is locally solvable at $v \neq 2$. By the product formula of Hilbert symbols, $H_{i}$ is locally solvable at 2. In other words,

$$
\left[n d_{2}, d_{2} d_{3}\right]_{2}=\left[-n d_{1}, d_{3} d_{1}\right]_{2}=\left[2 n d_{2}, d_{1} d_{2}\right]_{2}=0
$$

Then $\left[n d_{2}, d_{1}\right]_{2}=\left[-n d_{1}, d_{2}\right]_{2}=0$.

- If $d_{1} \equiv d_{2} \bmod 4$, then $\left[-n, d_{1}\right]_{2}=\left[n, d_{2}\right]_{2}=\left[2, d_{1} d_{2}\right]_{2}=0$, which forces $4 \mid d_{1}-1$ and $8 \mid d_{1}-d_{2}$.
- If $d_{1} \equiv-d_{2} \bmod 4$, then $\left[n, d_{1}\right]_{2}=\left[-n,-d_{1}\right]_{2}=0$ and $n \equiv-d_{1} \equiv d_{2} \bmod$ 4. Since $\left[2, d_{1} d_{2}\right]_{2}=\left[2 n d_{2}, d_{1} d_{2}\right]_{2}=0$, we have $d_{1} d_{2} \equiv-1 \bmod 8$. In other words, $4 \mid d_{1}+n$ and $8 \mid d_{1}-d_{2}+2 n$.
Hence $D_{\Lambda}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) \neq \emptyset$ by Lemma 3.4(3).
3.2. Matrix representation. By the results in the previous subsection, we can express the pure 2-Selmer group $\operatorname{Sel}_{2}^{\prime}\left(E^{(n)}\right)$ as the kernel of a matrix. For our purpose, we assume that $n$ is prime to $a b c$ and each prime factor of $n$ is a quadratic residue modulo every prime factor of $a b c$.

Denote by $n=p_{1} \cdots p_{k}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=q_{1}^{t_{1}} \cdots q_{\ell_{1}}^{t_{\ell_{1}}}, \quad b=q_{\ell_{1}+1}^{t_{\ell_{1}+1}} \cdots q_{\ell_{2}}^{t_{\ell_{2}}}, \quad c=q_{\ell_{2}+1}^{t_{\ell_{2}+1}} \cdots q_{\ell}^{t_{\ell}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

the prime decompositions respectively, where all $t_{i}>0$ and $0 \leqslant \ell_{1} \leqslant \ell_{2} \leqslant \ell$. Let $\Lambda=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right) \in \operatorname{Sel}_{2}^{\prime}\left(E^{(n)}\right)$ where $d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}$ are positive square-free integers dividing nabc. By Lemma 3.3, we have $\operatorname{gcd}\left(a, d_{2}\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(b, d_{1}\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(c, d_{3}\right)=1$. In other words, $d_{1}\left|n a c, d_{2}\right| n b c$ and $d_{3} \mid n a b$. So we may write

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{1} & =p_{1}^{x_{1}} \cdots p_{k}^{x_{k}} \cdot q_{1}^{z_{1}} \cdots q_{\ell_{1}}^{z_{\ell_{1}}} \cdot q_{\ell_{2}+1}^{z_{\ell_{2}+1}} \cdots q_{\ell}^{z_{\ell}} \\
d_{2} & =p_{1}^{y_{1}} \cdots p_{k}^{y_{k}} \cdot q_{\ell_{1}+1}^{z_{\ell_{1}+1}} \cdots q_{\ell_{2}}^{z_{\ell_{2}}} \cdot q_{\ell_{2}+1}^{z_{\ell_{2}+1}} \cdots q_{\ell}^{z_{\ell}} \\
d_{3} & \equiv p_{1}^{x_{1}+y_{1}} \cdots p_{k}^{x_{1}+y_{k}} \cdot q_{1}^{z_{1}} \cdots q_{\ell_{1}}^{z_{\ell_{1}}} \cdot q_{\ell_{1}+1}^{z_{\ell_{1}+1}} \cdots q_{\ell_{2}}^{z_{\ell_{2}}} \bmod \mathbb{Q}^{\times 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote by

$$
\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}, \quad \mathbf{y}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{k}
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{z}=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{\ell_{1}}, z_{\ell_{1}+1}, \ldots, z_{\ell_{2}}, z_{\ell_{2}+1}, \ldots, z_{\ell}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{\ell}
$$

Denote by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mathbf{F}_{1} & \mathbf{F}_{2} & \mathbf{F}_{3} \\
\mathbf{F}_{4} & \mathbf{F}_{5} & \mathbf{F}_{6} \\
\mathbf{F}_{7} & \mathbf{F}_{8} & \mathbf{F}_{9}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\left[q_{j}, q_{i}\right]_{q_{i}}\right)_{i, j} \in M_{\ell}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)
$$

where $\mathbf{F}_{1} \in M_{\ell_{1}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$ and $\mathbf{F}_{5} \in M_{\ell_{2}-\ell_{1}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$. Denote by

$$
\mathcal{M}_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc} 
& \mathbf{F}_{2} & \mathbf{F}_{3} \\
\mathbf{F}_{4} & & \mathbf{F}_{6} \\
\mathbf{F}_{7} & \mathbf{F}_{8} & \\
& \Delta &
\end{array}\right) \in M_{\left(\ell+\ell_{2}-\ell_{1}\right) \times \ell}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)
$$

where

$$
\Delta=\operatorname{diag}\left(\left[\frac{-1}{q_{\ell_{1}+1}}\right], \cdots,\left[\frac{-1}{q_{\ell_{2}}}\right]\right)
$$

Lemma 3.5. Notations as above. The map $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right) \mapsto \mathbf{z}$ induces an isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Sel}_{2}^{\prime}(E) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Ker} \mathcal{M}_{1}
$$

Proof. In the language of linear algebra, Lemma 3.3 tells that
(1) $\left(\mathbf{O}, \mathbf{F}_{2}, \mathbf{F}_{3}\right) \mathbf{z}=\mathbf{0}$;
(2) $\left(\mathbf{F}_{4}, \mathbf{O}, \mathbf{F}_{6}\right) \mathbf{z}=\mathbf{0}$ and $\Delta\left(z_{\ell_{1}+1}, \ldots, z_{\ell_{2}}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}=\mathbf{0}$;
(3) $\left(\mathbf{F}_{7}, \mathbf{F}_{8}, \mathbf{O}\right) \mathbf{z}=\mathbf{0}$.

The result then follows from Lemmas 3.1 (4) and 3.4 by noting that $n=1$.
Denote by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{D}_{u} & =\operatorname{diag}\left\{\left[\frac{u}{p_{1}}\right], \cdots,\left[\frac{u}{p_{k}}\right]\right\} \in M_{k}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right), \\
\mathbf{A} & =\mathbf{A}_{n}=\left(\left[p_{j},-n\right]_{p_{i}}\right)_{i, j} \in M_{k}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\left(\mathbf{G}_{1}, \mathbf{G}_{2}, \mathbf{G}_{3}\right)=\left(\left[q_{j},-n\right]_{p_{i}}\right)_{i, j} \in M_{k \times \ell}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)
$$

where $\mathbf{G}_{1} \in M_{k \times \ell_{1}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$ and $\mathbf{G}_{2} \in M_{k \times\left(\ell_{2}-\ell_{1}\right)}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$. Denote the Monsky matrix by

$$
\mathbf{M}_{n}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{A}+\mathbf{D}_{-2} & \mathbf{D}_{2}  \tag{3.3}\\
\mathbf{D}_{2} & \mathbf{A}+\mathbf{D}_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and the generalized Monsky matrix by

$$
\mathcal{M}_{n}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{M}_{n} & \mathbf{G}  \tag{3.4}\\
& \mathcal{M}_{1}
\end{array}\right), \quad \text { where } \quad \mathbf{G}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{G}_{1} & & \mathbf{G}_{3} \\
& \mathbf{G}_{2} & \mathbf{G}_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

See [HB94, Appendix].
Proposition 3.6. Notations as above. The map $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right) \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{l}\mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{z}\end{array}\right)$ induces an isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Sel}_{2}^{\prime}\left(E^{(n)}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Ker} \mathcal{M}_{n}
$$

Proof. This follows from Lemmas 3.1(4), 3.2, 3.3, 3.4 and 3.5 with $\left(\frac{n}{q}\right)=1$.

## 4. Second minimal Shafarevich-Tate group

In this section, $n=p_{1} \cdots p_{k} \equiv 1 \bmod 8$ is a positive square-free integer prime to $a b c$ where each $p_{i}$ is a quadratic residue modulo every prime factor of $a b c$.

### 4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1(A).

Lemma 4.1. Assume that each $p_{i} \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 8$. Let $\mathbf{d}=\left(s_{1}, \cdots, s_{k}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ be a column vector in $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{k}$ and $d=p_{1}^{s_{1}} \cdots p_{k}^{s_{k}}$.
(1) $\mathbf{d} \in \operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathbf{A}+\mathbf{D}_{-1}\right)$ if and only if $\mathbf{d}+\left[\frac{-1}{d}\right] \mathbf{1} \in \operatorname{Ker} \mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}}$.
(2) Assume that $\operatorname{Sel}_{2}(E / \mathbb{Q}) \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$. Then $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}} \operatorname{Sel}_{2}^{\prime}\left(E^{(n)}\right)=2$ if and only if $h_{4}(n)=1$. In which case, $\operatorname{Sel}_{2}^{\prime}\left(E^{(n)}\right)$ is generated by $(2,2,1)$ and $(d, 1, d)$, where $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathbf{A}+\mathbf{D}_{-1}\right)=\{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{d}\}$.
Proof. (1) We may rearrange the ordering of the prime factors $p_{i}$ such that $p_{1} \equiv$ $\cdots \equiv p_{k^{\prime}} \equiv-1 \bmod 8$ and $p_{k^{\prime}+1} \equiv \cdots \equiv p_{k} \equiv 1 \bmod 8$. Then $\mathbf{b}_{-1}=\binom{\mathbf{1}^{\prime}}{\mathbf{0}}$, where $\mathbf{1}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{k^{\prime}}$. By the quadratic reciprocity law, one can show that

$$
\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}}=\mathbf{A}+\mathbf{D}_{-1}+\mathbf{b}_{-1} \mathbf{b}_{-1}^{\mathrm{T}}
$$

Since $n \equiv 1 \bmod 8, k^{\prime}$ is even and $\mathbf{b}_{-1}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{1}=\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{b}_{-1}=\mathbf{b}_{-1}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{b}_{-1}=k^{\prime}=0 \in \mathbb{F}_{2}$. Since $\mathbf{A 1}=\mathbf{0}$, we have

$$
\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{1}=\left(\mathbf{A}+\mathbf{D}_{-1}+\mathbf{b}_{-1} \mathbf{b}_{-1}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \mathbf{1}=\mathbf{b}_{-1}
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{1} \mathbf{b}_{-1}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)=\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}}+\mathbf{b}_{-1} \mathbf{b}_{-1}^{\mathrm{T}}=\mathbf{A}+\mathbf{D}_{-1}
$$

Hence $\mathbf{d} \in \operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathbf{A}+\mathbf{D}_{-1}\right)$ if and only if

$$
\left(\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{1} \mathbf{b}_{-1}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \mathbf{d}=\mathbf{d}+\left(\mathbf{b}_{-1}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{d}\right) \mathbf{1}=\mathbf{d}+\left[\frac{-1}{d}\right] \mathbf{1} \in \operatorname{Ker} \mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}}
$$

(2) Since $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}} \operatorname{Sel}_{2}^{\prime}(E)=0$, we have Ker $\mathcal{M}_{1}=0$ by Lemma 3.5. By Proposition 3.6, $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}} \operatorname{Sel}_{2}^{\prime}\left(E^{(n)}\right)=2$ if and only if the rank of

$$
\mathbf{M}_{n}=\operatorname{diag}\left\{\mathbf{A}+\mathbf{D}_{-1}, \mathbf{A}\right\}
$$

is $2 k-2$. By (1), we have $\operatorname{rank} \mathbf{A}=\operatorname{rank}\left(\mathbf{A}+\mathbf{D}_{-1}\right)$ and then

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}} \operatorname{Sel}_{2}^{\prime}\left(E^{(n)}\right)=2 \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{rank} \mathbf{A}=k-1
$$

Note that the Rédei matrix of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-n})$ is $\mathbf{R}_{n}=(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{0})$. Then $h_{4}(n)=1$ if and only if $\operatorname{rank} \mathbf{A}=k-1$ by Proposition 2.1.

If rank $\mathbf{A}=k-1$, then $\operatorname{Ker} \mathbf{A}=\{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\}$. Hence

$$
\operatorname{Ker} \mathcal{M}_{n}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{1} \\
\mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{d} \\
\mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{d} \\
\mathbf{1} \\
\mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right)\right\}
$$

In other words, $\operatorname{Sel}_{2}^{\prime}\left(E^{(n)}\right)$ is generated by $(1, n, n)$ and $(d, 1, d)$. Conclude the result by the fact that $(1, n, n)-(2,2,1)=(2,2 n, n)$ corresponds a torsion, see (2.3).

Theorem 4.2. Assume that $\operatorname{Sel}_{2}(E / \mathbb{Q}) \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$. Let $n$ be a positive square-free integer prime to abc where each prime factor of $n$ is a quadratic residue modulo every prime factor of abc. If all prime factors of $n \equiv 1 \bmod 8$ are congruent to $\pm 1$ modulo 8, then the following are equivalent:
(1) $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})=0$ and $\amalg\left(E^{(n)} / \mathbb{Q}\right)\left[2^{\infty}\right] \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$;
(2) $h_{4}(n)=1$ and $h_{8}(n)=0$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.6, (1) is equivalent to say, $\operatorname{Sel}_{2}^{\prime}\left(E^{(n)}\right)$ has dimension 2 and the Cassels pairing on it is non-degenerate. By Lemma 4.1(2), $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}} \operatorname{Sel}_{2}^{\prime}\left(E^{(n)}\right)=2$ if and only if $h_{4}(n)=1$.

Since all prime factors of $n$ are congruent to $\pm 1$ modulo 8,2 is a norm and there exists a primitive triple $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ of positive integers such that

$$
\alpha^{2}+n \beta^{2}=2 \gamma^{2}
$$

It's easy to see that all of $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ are odd.
Assume that $h_{4}(n)=1$. Then by Lemma $4.1(2), \operatorname{Sel}_{2}^{\prime}\left(E^{(n)}\right)$ is generated by $\Lambda=(2,2,1)$ and $\Lambda^{\prime}=(d, 1, d)$. Recall that $D_{\Lambda}$ is

$$
\begin{cases}H_{1}: & -b^{2} n t^{2}+2 u_{2}^{2}-u_{3}^{2}=0 \\ H_{2}: & -a^{2} n t^{2}+u_{3}^{2}-2 u_{1}^{2}=0 \\ H_{3}: & c^{2} n t^{2}+u_{1}^{2}-u_{2}^{2}=0\end{cases}
$$

Choose

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
Q_{1}=(\beta, b \gamma, b \alpha) \in H_{1}(\mathbb{Q}), & L_{1}=b n \beta t-2 \gamma u_{2}+\alpha u_{3} \\
Q_{3}=(0,1,1) \in H_{3}(\mathbb{Q}), & L_{3}=u_{1}-u_{2}
\end{array}
$$

By Lemma 2.5, we have

$$
\left\langle\Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime}\right\rangle=\sum_{p \mid 2 n a b c}\left[L_{1} L_{3}\left(P_{p}\right), d\right]_{p}
$$

for any $P_{p} \in D_{\Lambda}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$. Since $\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q}\right)=1$ for any prime $q \mid a b c$, we have $\left(\frac{d}{q}\right)=1$ and $\left\langle\Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime}\right\rangle_{q}=0$.

For $p \mid n, \alpha^{2} \equiv 2 \gamma^{2} \bmod p$. We may take $\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ such that $\sqrt{2} \gamma \equiv \alpha \bmod p$. Take $P_{p}=\left(t, u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)=(0,1,-1, \sqrt{2})$, then

$$
L_{1} L_{3}\left(P_{p}\right)=2(2 \gamma+\sqrt{2} \alpha) \equiv 8 \gamma \bmod p
$$

and

$$
\left\langle\Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime}\right\rangle_{p}=\left[L_{1} L_{3}\left(P_{p}\right), d\right]_{p}=[\gamma, d]_{p}
$$

Note that $n(b \beta)^{2}-(a \alpha)^{2}=2\left(b^{2} \gamma^{2}-c^{2} \alpha^{2}\right) \equiv 0 \bmod 16$, we may take $\sqrt{n} \in \mathbb{Q}_{2}$ such that $b \beta \sqrt{n} \equiv a \alpha \bmod 8$. Take $P_{2}=(1,0, c \sqrt{n},-a \sqrt{n})$, then

$$
L_{1} L_{3}\left(P_{2}\right)=-c \sqrt{n}(b n \beta-2 c \gamma \sqrt{n}-a \alpha \sqrt{n})=2 c^{2} n \gamma+c n(a \alpha-b \beta \sqrt{n})
$$

and

$$
\left\langle\Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime}\right\rangle_{2}=\left[L_{1} L_{3}\left(P_{2}\right), d\right]_{2}=\left[2 c^{2} n \gamma, d\right]_{2}=[\gamma, d]_{2}=\left[\frac{-1}{d}\right]\left[\frac{-1}{\gamma}\right]
$$

Since $\alpha^{2} \equiv-n \beta^{2} \bmod \gamma$, we have $\left(\frac{-1}{\gamma}\right)=\left(\frac{n}{\gamma}\right)=\left(\frac{\gamma}{n}\right)$. Hence

$$
\left\langle\Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime}\right\rangle=\sum_{p \mid n}\left\langle\Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime}\right\rangle_{p}+\left\langle\Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime}\right\rangle_{2}=\left[\frac{\gamma}{d}\right]+\left[\frac{-1}{d}\right]\left[\frac{\gamma}{n}\right]
$$

Since $\mathbf{R}_{n}=(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{0})$, we have $\mathcal{A}[2] \cap \mathcal{A}^{2}=\{[(1)],[(2, \sqrt{-n})]\}$. Since $\operatorname{Ker} \mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}}=$ $\left\{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{d}+\left[\frac{-1}{d}\right] \mathbf{1}\right\}$ by Lemma 4.1(1), we have

$$
\operatorname{Im} \mathbf{R}_{n}=\operatorname{Im} \mathbf{A}=\left\{\mathbf{u}: \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathbf{d}+\left[\frac{-1}{d}\right] \mathbf{1}\right)=0\right\}
$$

By Proposition 2.2, $[(2, \sqrt{-n})] \in \mathcal{A}^{4}$ if and only if

$$
\mathbf{b}_{\gamma}=\left(\left[\frac{\gamma}{p_{1}}\right], \ldots,\left[\frac{\gamma}{p_{k}}\right]\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \in \operatorname{Im} \mathbf{R}_{n}
$$

if and only if

$$
\left\langle\Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left[\frac{\gamma}{d}\right]+\left[\frac{-1}{d}\right]\left[\frac{\gamma}{n}\right]=\mathbf{b}_{\gamma}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathbf{d}+\left[\frac{-1}{d}\right] \mathbf{1}\right)=0
$$

In conclusion, the Cassels pairing is non-degenerate if and only if $h_{8}(n)=0$.
4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.1(B).

Lemma 4.3. Assume that each $p_{i} \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ and $\operatorname{Sel}_{2}(E / \mathbb{Q}) \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$. Let $\mathbf{d}=\left(s_{1}, \cdots, s_{k}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ be a column vector in $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{k}$ and $d=p_{1}^{s_{1}} \cdots p_{k}^{s_{k}}$.
(1) $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}} \operatorname{Sel}_{2}^{\prime}\left(E^{(n)}\right)=2$ if and only if $h_{4}(n)=1$. In which case, $\operatorname{rank} \mathbf{A}=k-2$ or $k-1$.
(2) If $h_{4}(n)=1$ and $\operatorname{rank} \mathbf{A}=k-2$, then $\operatorname{Sel}_{2}^{\prime}\left(E^{(n)}\right)$ is generated by $(d, d, 1)$ and $(-1,1,-1)$, where $\operatorname{Ker} \mathbf{A}=\{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d}+\mathbf{1}\}$. Moreover, $d \equiv 5 \bmod 8$.
(3) If $h_{4}(n)=1$ and $\operatorname{rank} \mathbf{A}=k-1$, then $\operatorname{Sel}_{2}^{\prime}\left(E^{(n)}\right)$ is generated by $(2 d, 2 d, 1)$ and $(-1,1,-1)$, where $\mathbf{A d}=\mathbf{b}_{2}$.

Proof. Similar to the proof of Lemma 4.1(2), we have $\operatorname{Ker} \mathcal{M}_{1}=0$. It suffices to show that $\operatorname{rank} \mathbf{M}_{n}=2 k-2$ if and only if the Rédei matrix $\mathbf{R}_{n}=\left(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}_{2}\right)$ has rank $k-1$ by Proposition 2.1. Since $\mathbf{A 1}=\mathbf{0}$, we have $\operatorname{rank} \mathbf{A} \leqslant k-1$. If $\operatorname{rank} \mathbf{M}_{n}=2 k-2$, then

$$
2 k-2=\operatorname{rank}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{A}+\mathbf{D}_{2} & \mathbf{D}_{2} \\
\mathbf{D}_{2} & \mathbf{A}+\mathbf{D}_{2}
\end{array}\right)=\operatorname{rank}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{A} & \mathbf{D}_{2} \\
& \mathbf{A}
\end{array}\right) \leqslant k+\operatorname{rank} \mathbf{A}
$$

and $\operatorname{rank} \mathbf{A} \geqslant k-2$. If $\operatorname{rank} \mathbf{R}_{n}=k-1$, then clearly $\operatorname{rank} \mathbf{A} \geqslant k-2$.
Suppose that $\operatorname{rank} \mathbf{A}=k-2$. If $\operatorname{rank} \mathbf{M}_{n}=2 k-2$, then $\mathbf{b}_{2} \notin \operatorname{Im} \mathbf{A}$. Otherwise assume that $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{a}=\mathbf{b}_{2}$, then

$$
\operatorname{Ker} \mathbf{M}_{n} \supseteq\left\{\binom{\mathbf{u}}{\mathbf{u}},\binom{\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{1}}: \mathbf{u} \in \operatorname{Ker} \mathbf{A}\right\}
$$

has at least 8 elements, which is impossible. Therefore, $\operatorname{rank} \mathbf{R}_{n}=\operatorname{rank}\left(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}_{2}\right)=$ $k-1$. Conversely, if $\operatorname{rank} \mathbf{R}_{n}=k-1$, then $\mathbf{b}_{2} \notin \operatorname{Im} \mathbf{A}$. Since $n \equiv 1 \bmod 8$, we have $\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{b}_{2}=0$. Note that $\mathbf{A}$ is symmetric, we have

$$
\operatorname{Im} \mathbf{A}=\left\{\mathbf{u}: \mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}=\mathbf{d}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}=0\right\}
$$

$\mathbf{d}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{b}_{2}=1$ and $\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{D}_{2}(\mathbf{d}+\mathbf{1})=\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{D}_{2} \mathbf{d}=\mathbf{b}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{d}=1$. Hence $\mathbf{D}_{2} \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{D}_{2} \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{D}_{2}(\mathbf{d}+\mathbf{1}) \notin$ $\operatorname{Im} \mathbf{A}$. If $\binom{\mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{y}} \in \operatorname{Ker} \mathbf{M}_{n}$, then $\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y} \in \operatorname{Ker} \mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{D}_{2}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y})=\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}$. This forces $\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{y} \in \operatorname{Ker} \mathbf{A}$. Hence $\# \operatorname{Ker} \mathbf{M}_{n}=\# \operatorname{Ker} \mathbf{A}=4$ and $\operatorname{rank} \mathbf{M}_{n}=2 k-2$. In this case,

$$
\operatorname{Ker} \mathcal{M}_{n}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{1} \\
\mathbf{1} \\
\mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{d} \\
\mathbf{d} \\
\mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{d}+\mathbf{1} \\
\mathbf{d}+\mathbf{1} \\
\mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right)\right\}
$$

In other words, $\operatorname{Sel}_{2}^{\prime}\left(E^{(n)}\right)$ is generated by $(n, n, 1)$ and $(d, d, 1)$. Since $\mathbf{d}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{b}_{2}=1$, we have $\left(\frac{2}{d}\right)=1$ and $d \equiv 5 \bmod 8$.

Suppose that $\operatorname{rank} \mathbf{A}=k-1$. Then $\operatorname{Ker} \mathbf{A}=\{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\}$ and $\operatorname{Im} \mathbf{A}=\left\{\mathbf{u}: \mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}=0\right\}$. Since $n \equiv 1 \bmod 8$, we have $\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{b}_{2}=0$ and $\mathbf{b}_{2} \in \operatorname{Im} \mathbf{A}$. Thus $\operatorname{rank} \mathbf{R}_{n}=k-1$, $h_{4}(n)=1$ and

$$
\operatorname{Ker} \mathcal{M}_{n}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{1} \\
\mathbf{1} \\
\mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{d} \\
\mathbf{d}+\mathbf{1} \\
\mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{d}+\mathbf{1} \\
\mathbf{d} \\
\mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right)\right\} .
$$

In this case, $\operatorname{Sel}_{2}^{\prime}\left(E^{(n)}\right)$ is generated by $(n, n, 1)$ and $(d, n d, n)$.
Conclude the result by the fact that $(n, n, 1)-(-1,1,-1)=(-n, n,-1)$ and $(d, n d, n)-(2 d, 2 d, 1)=(2,2 n, n)$ correspond torsions, see (2.3).

Theorem 4.4. Assume that $\operatorname{Sel}_{2}(E / \mathbb{Q}) \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$. Let $n$ be a positive square-free integer prime to abc where each prime factor of $n$ is a quadratic residue modulo every prime factor of abc. If all prime factors of $n \equiv 1 \bmod 8$ are congruent to 1 modulo 4, then the following are equivalent:
(1) $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q})=0$ and $\amalg\left(E^{(n)} / \mathbb{Q}\right)\left[2^{\infty}\right] \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$;
(2) $h_{4}(n)=1$ and $h_{8}(n) \equiv \frac{d-1}{4} \bmod 2$.

Here $d$ is the odd part of $d_{0} \mid 2 n$ such that the ideal class $\left[\left(d_{0}, \sqrt{-n}\right)\right]$ is the non-trivial element in $\mathcal{A}[2] \cap \mathcal{A}^{2}$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.6, (1) is equivalent to say, $\operatorname{Sel}_{2}^{\prime}\left(E^{(n)}\right)$ has dimension 2 and the Cassels pairing on it is non-degenerate. By Lemma 4.3(1), $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}} \operatorname{Sel}_{2}^{\prime}\left(E^{(n)}\right)=2$ if and only if $h_{4}(n)=1$. Assume that $h_{4}(n)=1$.
(1) The case $\operatorname{rank} \mathbf{A}=k-2$. By Lemma 4.3(2) and Proposition 2.1, we have $\mathbf{b}_{2} \notin \operatorname{Im} \mathbf{A}$ and $\mathcal{D}(K) \cap \mathbf{N}_{K / \mathbb{Q}} K^{\times}=\{1, n, d, n / d\}$ with $d=d_{0} \equiv 5 \bmod 8$. Denote by $d^{\prime}=n / d \equiv 5 \bmod 8$. Since $d$ is a norm, there exists a primitive triple $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ of positive integers such that

$$
d \alpha^{2}+d^{\prime} \beta^{2}=\gamma^{2} .
$$

If $\alpha$ is odd, then $\beta$ is even and the triple

$$
\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=\left(\left|\frac{\left(d-d^{\prime}\right) \alpha}{2}+d^{\prime} \beta\right|,\left|\frac{\left(d-d^{\prime}\right) \beta}{2}-d \alpha\right|, \frac{\left(d+d^{\prime}\right) \gamma}{2}\right)
$$

is another primitive solution with even $\alpha^{\prime}$. Thus we may assume that $\alpha$ is even. Then all of $\alpha / 2, \beta, \gamma$ are odd since $d^{\prime} \equiv 5 \bmod 8$.

By Lemma $4.3(2), \operatorname{Sel}_{2}^{\prime}\left(E^{(n)}\right)$ is generated by $\Lambda=(d, d, 1)$ and $\Lambda^{\prime}=(-1,1,-1)$. Recall that $D_{\Lambda}$ is

$$
\begin{cases}H_{1}: & -b^{2} n t^{2}+d u_{2}^{2}-u_{3}^{2}=0, \\ H_{2}: & -a^{2} n t^{2}+u_{3}^{2}-d u_{1}^{2}=0, \\ H_{3}: & 2 c^{2} d^{\prime} t^{2}+u_{1}^{2}-u_{2}^{2}=0 .\end{cases}
$$

Choose

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
Q_{1}=(\beta, b \gamma, b d \alpha) \in H_{1}(\mathbb{Q}), & L_{1}=b d^{\prime} \beta t-\gamma u_{2}+\alpha u_{3} \\
Q_{3}=(0,1,1) \in H_{3}(\mathbb{Q}), & L_{3}=u_{1}-u_{2}
\end{array}
$$

By Lemma 2.5, we have

$$
\left\langle\Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime}\right\rangle=\sum_{p \mid 2 n a b c \infty}\left[L_{1} L_{3}\left(P_{p}\right),-1\right]_{p}
$$

for any $P_{p} \in D_{\Lambda}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$. For each $p \mid n$, we have $p \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ and then $\left\langle\Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime}\right\rangle_{p}=0$. Since for any $q \mid c$, we have $-a^{2}=b^{2}-2 c^{2} \equiv b^{2} \bmod q$, we have $q \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ and then $\left\langle\Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime}\right\rangle_{q}=0$.

Take $P_{\infty}=\left(t, u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)=(0,1,-1, \sqrt{d})$, then

$$
L_{1} L_{3}\left(P_{\infty}\right)=2(\gamma+\alpha \sqrt{d})>0
$$

and

$$
\left\langle\Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime}\right\rangle_{\infty}=\left[L_{1} L_{3}\left(P_{\infty}\right),-1\right]_{\infty}=0
$$

Take $P_{2}=\left(2, \sqrt{1-8 c^{2} d^{\prime}}, 1, \sqrt{d-4 b^{2} n}\right)$ where $u_{1} \equiv 3 \bmod 8$. Note that $b d^{\prime} \beta+$ $\alpha u_{3} / 2$ is even. We have

$$
L_{1} L_{3}\left(P_{2}\right)=\left(u_{1}-1\right)\left(2 b d^{\prime} \beta+\alpha u_{3}-\gamma\right)
$$

and

$$
\left\langle\Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime}\right\rangle_{2}=\left[L_{1} L_{3}\left(P_{2}\right),-1\right]_{2}=[2,-1]_{2}+[-\gamma,-1]_{2}=\left[\frac{-1}{\gamma}\right]+1
$$

Since $d \alpha^{2} \equiv-d^{\prime} \beta^{2} \bmod \gamma$, we have $\left(\frac{-1}{\gamma}\right)=\left(\frac{n}{\gamma}\right)=\left(\frac{\gamma}{n}\right)$ and $\left\langle\Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime}\right\rangle_{2}=\left[\frac{\gamma}{n}\right]+1$.
For $q \mid a b$, take $P_{q}=(0,1,-1, \sqrt{d})$. Since $\gamma^{2}-d \alpha^{2}=d^{\prime} \beta^{2}$, we may choose $\sqrt{d}$ such that $q \mid(\gamma-\alpha \sqrt{d})$ if $q \mid \beta$. Then

$$
L_{1} L_{3}\left(P_{q}\right)=2(\gamma+\alpha \sqrt{d}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{\times}
$$

and

$$
\left\langle\Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime}\right\rangle_{q}=\left[L_{1} L_{3}\left(P_{q}\right),-1\right]_{q}=0
$$

Hence

$$
\left\langle\Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle\Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime}\right\rangle_{2}=\left[\frac{\gamma}{n}\right]+1
$$

Since $\mathbf{R}_{n}=\left(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}_{2}\right)$, we have $\mathcal{A}[2] \cap \mathcal{A}^{2}=\{[(1)],[(d, \sqrt{-n})]\}$. Since $\mathbf{b}_{2} \notin \operatorname{Im} \mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{A 1}=\mathbf{0}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Im} \mathbf{R}_{n}=\left\{\mathbf{u}: \mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}=0\right\}
$$

By Lemma 2.2, $[(d, \sqrt{-n})] \in \mathcal{A}^{4}$ if and only if

$$
\mathbf{b}_{\gamma}=\left(\left[\frac{\gamma}{p_{1}}\right], \ldots,\left[\frac{\gamma}{p_{k}}\right]\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \in \operatorname{Im} \mathbf{R}_{n}
$$

if and only if

$$
\left\langle\Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left[\frac{\gamma}{n}\right]+1=\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{b}_{\gamma}+1=1
$$

In conclusion, the Cassels pairing is non-degenerate if and only if $h_{8}(n)=1=\left[\frac{2}{d}\right]$.
(2) The case $\operatorname{rank} \mathbf{A}=k-1$. By Lemma 4.3(3) and Proposition 2.1, we have $\mathbf{b}_{2} \in \operatorname{Im} \mathbf{A}$ and $\mathcal{D}(K) \cap \mathbf{N}_{K / \mathbb{Q}} K^{\times}=\{1, n, 2 d, 2 n / d\}$. Denote by $d^{\prime}=n / d$. Since $d_{0}=2 d$ is a norm, there exists a primitive triple $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ of positive integers such that

$$
d \alpha^{2}+d^{\prime} \beta^{2}=2 \gamma^{2}
$$

It's easy to see that all of $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ are odd.
By Lemma $4.3(3), \operatorname{Sel}_{2}^{\prime}\left(E^{(n)}\right)$ is generated by $\Lambda=(2 d, 2 d, 1)$ and $\Lambda^{\prime}=(-1,1,-1)$. Recall that $D_{\Lambda}$ is

$$
\begin{cases}H_{1}: & -b^{2} n t^{2}+2 d u_{2}^{2}-u_{3}^{2}=0 \\ H_{2}: & -a^{2} n t^{2}+u_{3}^{2}-2 d u_{1}^{2}=0 \\ H_{3}: & c^{2} d^{\prime} t^{2}+u_{1}^{2}-u_{2}^{2}=0\end{cases}
$$

Choose

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
Q_{1}=(\beta, b \gamma, b d \alpha) \in H_{1}(\mathbb{Q}), & L_{1}=b d^{\prime} \beta t-2 \gamma u_{2}+\alpha u_{3} \\
Q_{3}=(0,1,1) \in H_{3}(\mathbb{Q}), & L_{3}=u_{1}-u_{2}
\end{array}
$$

Similar to the case $\operatorname{rank} \mathbf{A}=k-2$, we have

$$
\left\langle\Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime}\right\rangle=\sum_{p \mid 2 a b \infty}\left[L_{1} L_{3}\left(P_{p}\right),-1\right]_{p}
$$

for any $P_{p} \in D_{\Lambda}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$.
For $p=\infty$, take $P_{\infty}=(0,1,-1, \sqrt{2 d})$. Then

$$
L_{1} L_{3}\left(P_{\infty}\right)=2(2 \gamma+\alpha \sqrt{2 d})>0
$$

and

$$
\left\langle\Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime}\right\rangle_{\infty}=\left[L_{1} L_{3}\left(P_{\infty}\right),-1\right]_{\infty}=0
$$

For $p=2$, take $P_{2}=\left(t, u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)$ where

$$
t=1, u_{1}=2\left[\frac{2}{d}\right], u_{2}^{2}=c^{2} d^{\prime}+u_{1}^{2}, u_{3}^{2}=a^{2} n+2 d u_{1}^{2}
$$

with $\gamma u_{2} \equiv 1 \bmod 4$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(b d^{\prime} \beta+\alpha u_{3}\right)\left(b d^{\prime} \beta-\alpha u_{3}\right)=b^{2} d^{2} \beta^{2}-\alpha^{2}\left(a^{2} n+2 d u_{1}^{2}\right) \\
= & b^{2} d^{\prime}\left(2 \gamma^{2}-d \alpha^{2}\right)-\alpha^{2}\left(a^{2} n+2 d u_{1}^{2}\right)=2 b^{2} d^{\prime} \gamma^{2}-\alpha^{2}\left(2 c^{2} n+2 d u_{1}^{2}\right) \\
= & 2\left(\left(b d^{\prime} \gamma\right)^{2}-n \alpha^{2} u_{2}^{2}\right) / d^{\prime} \equiv 0 \bmod 16,
\end{aligned}
$$

we may choose $u_{3}$ such that $8 \mid b d^{\prime} \beta+\alpha u_{3}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime}\right\rangle_{2} & =\left[L_{1} L_{3}\left(P_{2}\right),-1\right]_{2}=\left[\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)\left(b d^{\prime} \beta+\alpha u_{3}-2 \gamma u_{2}\right),-1\right]_{2} \\
& =\left[-2 \gamma u_{2}\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right),-1\right]_{2}=[2,-1]_{2}+\left[u_{2}-u_{1},-1\right]_{2} \\
& =[\gamma,-1]_{2}+\left[1-u_{1} \gamma,-1\right]_{2} \\
& =\left[\frac{-1}{\gamma}\right]+\left[1-2\left[\frac{2}{d}\right],-1\right]_{2}=\left[\frac{-1}{\gamma}\right]+\left[\frac{2}{d}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $d \alpha^{2} \equiv-d^{\prime} \beta^{2} \bmod \gamma$, we have $\left(\frac{-1}{\gamma}\right)=\left(\frac{n}{\gamma}\right)=\left(\frac{\gamma}{n}\right)$ and $\left\langle\Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime}\right\rangle_{2}=\left[\frac{\gamma}{n}\right]+\left[\frac{2}{d}\right]$.
For $q \mid a$, take $P_{q}=\left(1,0, u_{2}, a \sqrt{n}\right)$ where $u_{2}^{2}=c^{2} d^{\prime}$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(b d^{\prime} \beta-2 \gamma u_{2}\right)\left(b d^{\prime} \beta+2 \gamma u_{2}\right)=b^{2} d^{\prime 2} \beta^{2}-4 c^{2} d^{\prime} \gamma^{2} \\
\equiv & 2 c^{2} d^{\prime}\left(d^{\prime} \beta^{2}-2 \gamma^{2}\right)=-2 c^{2} n \alpha^{2} \bmod q
\end{aligned}
$$

we may choose $u_{2}$ such that $q \mid b d^{\prime} \beta+2 \gamma u_{2}$ if $q \mid \alpha$. If $q \mid b d^{\prime} \beta \pm 2 \gamma u_{2}$, then $q \mid \beta$, which contradicts to the primitivity of $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Therefore, $q \nmid b d^{\prime} \beta-2 \gamma u_{2}$. If $q \nmid \alpha$, clearly we have $q \nmid b d^{\prime} \beta \pm 2 \gamma u_{2}$. Then

$$
L_{1} L_{3}\left(P_{q}\right)=-u_{2}\left(b d^{\prime} \beta-2 \gamma u_{2}+a \alpha \sqrt{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{\times}
$$

and

$$
\left\langle\Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime}\right\rangle_{q}=\left[L_{1} L_{3}\left(P_{q}\right),-1\right]_{q}=0
$$

Similarly, $\left\langle\Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime}\right\rangle_{q}=0$ for $q \mid b$. Hence

$$
\left\langle\Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle\Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime}\right\rangle_{2}=\left[\frac{\gamma}{n}\right]+\left[\frac{2}{d}\right]
$$

Since $\mathbf{R}_{n}=\left(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}_{2}\right)$, we have $\mathcal{A}[2] \cap \mathcal{A}^{2}=\{[(1)],[(2 d, \sqrt{-n})]\}$. Since $\mathbf{b}_{2} \in \operatorname{Im} \mathbf{A}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Im} \mathbf{R}_{n}=\operatorname{Im} \mathbf{A}=\left\{\mathbf{u}: \mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}=0\right\}
$$

By Lemma $2.2,[(2 d, \sqrt{-n})] \in \mathcal{A}^{4}$ if and only if

$$
\mathbf{b}_{\gamma}=\left(\left[\frac{\gamma}{p_{1}}\right], \ldots,\left[\frac{\gamma}{p_{k}}\right]\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \in \operatorname{Im} \mathbf{R}_{n}
$$

if and only if

$$
\left\langle\Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left[\frac{\gamma}{n}\right]+\left[\frac{2}{d}\right]=\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{b}_{\gamma}+\left[\frac{2}{d}\right]=\left[\frac{2}{d}\right]
$$

In conclusion, the Cassels pairing is non-degenerate if and only if $h_{8}(n)=\left[\frac{2}{d}\right]$.

## 5. EQUidistribution of Residue symbols

### 5.1. Residue symbols.

Definition 5.1. Denote by $I=\sqrt{-1}$ and $\mathbb{Z}[I]$ the ring of Gauss integers.
(1) A prime element $\lambda$ of $\mathbb{Z}[I]$ is called Gaussian if it is not a rational prime.
(2) An integer $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[I]$ is called primary if $\lambda \equiv 1 \bmod (2+2 I)$.

Recall the quadratic and quartic residue symbols on $\mathbb{Z}[I]$, see [Hec81, p. 196] and [IR90]. Denote by $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{Q}(I) / \mathbb{Q}}$ the norm from $\mathbb{Q}(I)$ to $\mathbb{Q}$. For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[I]$ and prime element $\lambda$ prime to $1+I$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\alpha}{\lambda}\right)_{2} \in\{0, \pm 1\} \quad \text { such that } \quad\left(\frac{\alpha}{\lambda}\right)_{2} \equiv \alpha^{\frac{\mathbf{N} \lambda-1}{2}} \bmod \lambda \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any element $\lambda$ prime to $1+I$ with a prime decomposition $\lambda=\prod_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{k}$, define $\left(\frac{\alpha}{\lambda}\right)_{2}=\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\lambda_{i}}\right)_{2}$.

For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[I]$ and primary prime $\lambda$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\alpha}{\lambda}\right)_{4} \in\{0, \pm 1, \pm I\} \quad \text { such that } \quad\left(\frac{\alpha}{\lambda}\right)_{4} \equiv \alpha^{\frac{\mathrm{N} \lambda-1}{4}} \bmod \lambda \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any primary element $\lambda$ with a primary prime decomposition $\lambda=\prod_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{k}$, define $\left(\frac{\alpha}{\lambda}\right)_{4}=\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\lambda_{i}}\right)_{4}$. Let $\lambda$ and $\lambda^{\prime}$ be two coprime primary primes. Then we have the quartic reciprocity law

$$
\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda^{\prime}}\right)_{4}=\left(\frac{\lambda^{\prime}}{\lambda}\right)_{4}(-1)^{\frac{\mathrm{N} \lambda-1}{4} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{~N} \lambda^{\prime}-1}{4}}
$$

Certainly, $\left(\frac{\alpha}{\lambda}\right)_{2}=\left(\frac{\alpha}{\lambda}\right)_{4}^{2}$.
Let $p \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ be a rational prime. Let $a$ be a rational integer such that $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)=1$. By abuse of notations, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)_{4}:=\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right)_{4} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is a primary prime such that $\mathbf{N} \lambda=p$. For any rational integer $d=p_{1} \cdots p_{k}$ with $p_{i} \equiv 1 \bmod 4$, define $\left(\frac{a}{d}\right)_{4}=\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(\frac{a}{p_{i}}\right)_{4}$.
5.2. Analytic results. Let $F$ be a number field with degree $n$, discriminant $\Delta$ and ring of integers $\mathcal{O}$. Denote by $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{N}_{F / \mathbb{Q}}$ the norm from $F$ to $\mathbb{Q}$.

For an ideal $\mathfrak{f}$ of $\mathcal{O}$, denote by $I(\mathfrak{f})$ the group of fractional ideals prime to $\mathfrak{f}$ and $P_{\mathfrak{f}}$ the subgroup consisting of principal fractional ideals $(\gamma)=\gamma \mathcal{O}$ with totally real $\gamma \equiv 1 \bmod \mathfrak{f}$. A character $\chi$ of $I(\mathfrak{f}) / P_{\mathfrak{f}}$ is called a character modulo $\mathfrak{f}$. It can be viewed as a character on $I(\mathfrak{f})$. If $\mathfrak{a}$ is a fractional ideal not coprime to $\mathfrak{f}$, define $\chi(\mathfrak{a})=0$. Denote by

$$
\Lambda(\mathfrak{a})= \begin{cases}\log \mathbf{N} \mathfrak{p} & \text { if } \mathfrak{a}=\mathfrak{p}^{m} \text { with } m \geqslant 1  \tag{5.4}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

the Mangoldt function. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x, \chi)=\sum_{\mathbf{N} \mathfrak{a} \leqslant x} \chi(\mathfrak{a}) \Lambda(\mathfrak{a}) . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $\chi_{0}$ the principal character on $I(\mathfrak{f}) / P_{\mathfrak{f}}$.
Proposition 5.2 ([IK04, p. 112, Exercise 7]). If $\chi \neq \chi_{0}$ is a character modulo $\mathfrak{f}$ and $1 \leqslant T \leqslant x$, then

$$
\psi(x, \chi)=-\sum_{|\operatorname{Im} \rho| \leqslant T} \frac{x^{\rho}-1}{\rho}+O\left(T^{-1} x \log x \log \left(x^{n} \mathbf{N} \mathfrak{f}\right)\right)
$$

Here $\rho$ runs over all the zeros of $L(s, \chi)$ with $0 \leqslant \operatorname{Re} \rho \leqslant 1$.
Similar to the classical process on the estimation of $\psi(x, \chi)$ as in [Dav80, § 19], we derive the following explicit formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x, \chi)=-\frac{x^{\beta^{\prime}}}{\beta^{\prime}}+R(x, T) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
R(x, T) \ll x \log ^{2}(x \mathbf{N} \mathfrak{f}) \exp \left(-\frac{c_{1} \log x}{\log (T \mathbf{N} \mathfrak{f})}\right)+T^{-1} x \log x \cdot \log \left(x^{n} \mathbf{N} \mathfrak{f}\right)+x^{\frac{1}{4}} \log x .
$$

We also use the estimation on the number of zeroes in [Lan18, Satz LXXI]. Here $c_{1}$ is a positive constant and the term $-\frac{x^{\beta^{\prime}}}{\beta^{\prime}}$ occurs only if $\chi$ is a real character such that $L(s, \chi)$ has a zero $\beta^{\prime}$ satisfying

$$
\beta^{\prime}>1-\frac{c_{2}}{\log \mathbf{N f}}
$$

with $c_{2}$ a positive constant.
The Siegel Theorem over $F$ as follows is [Fog61, Theorem] and [Fog63, Satz].
Proposition 5.3. Let $\chi$ be a character modulo an integral $\mathfrak{f}$ and $D=|\Delta| \mathbf{N} \mathfrak{f}>1$.
(1) There is a positive constant $c_{3}=c_{3}(n)$ such that in the region

$$
\operatorname{Re}(s)>1-\frac{c_{3}}{\log D(2+|\operatorname{Im} s|)}>\frac{3}{4}
$$

there is no zero of $L(s, \chi)$ in the case of a complex $\chi$. For at most one real $\chi^{\prime}$, there may be a simple zero $\beta^{\prime}$ of $L\left(s, \chi^{\prime}\right)$ in this region.
(2) For any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a positive constant $c_{4}=c_{4}(n, \varepsilon)$ such that

$$
1-\beta^{\prime}>c_{4}(n, \varepsilon) D^{-\varepsilon}
$$

The Page Theorem over $F$ as follows is a special case of [HR95, § 3, Theorem A].

Proposition 5.4. For any $Z \geqslant 2$ and a suitable constant $c_{5}$, there is at most a real primitive character $\chi$ modulo $\mathfrak{f}$ with $\mathbf{N} \mathfrak{f} \leqslant Z$ such that $L(s, \chi)$ has a real zero $\beta$ satisfying

$$
\beta>1-\frac{c_{5}}{\log Z}
$$

5.3. Equidistribution of residue symbols. Recall that $a b c=q_{1}^{t_{1}} \cdots q_{\ell}^{t_{\ell}}$ is the prime decomposition of $a b c$. Let $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{k}\right)$ be a vector with $\alpha_{i} \in\{1,5,9,13\}$ and $\alpha_{1} \cdots \alpha_{k} \equiv 1 \bmod 8$. Let $\mathbf{B}=\left(B_{i j}\right)_{k \times k} \in M_{k}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$ be a symmetric matrix with rank $k-2$ and $\mathbf{B 1}=\mathbf{0}$. Then $\operatorname{Ker} \mathbf{B}=\{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d}+\mathbf{1}\}$ for some vector $\mathbf{d}=\left(s_{1}, \cdots, s_{k}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ with $s_{k}=0$.

Denote by $C_{k}(x, \alpha, \mathbf{B})$ the set of all $n=p_{1} \cdots p_{k}$ satisfying

- $n \leqslant x$ and $p_{1}<\cdots<p_{k}$;
- $p_{i} \equiv \alpha_{i} \bmod 16$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$;
- $\left[\frac{p_{j}}{p_{i}}\right]=B_{i j}$ for all $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant k$;
- $\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{j}}\right)=1$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$ and $1 \leqslant j \leqslant \ell$;
- $\left(\frac{d^{\prime}}{d}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{d}{d^{\prime}}\right)_{4}=-1$, where $d=p_{1}^{s_{1}} \cdots p_{k}^{s_{k}}$ and $d^{\prime}=n / d$,
and denote by $C_{k}^{\prime}(x, \alpha, \mathbf{B})$ the set of all $\eta=\lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{k}$ satisfying
- $\mathbf{N} \eta \leqslant x$ and $\mathbf{N} \lambda_{1}<\cdots<\mathbf{N} \lambda_{k} ;$
- $\lambda_{i} \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\mathbf{N} \lambda_{i} \equiv \alpha_{i} \bmod 16$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$;
- $\left[\frac{\mathbf{N} \lambda_{j}}{\mathbf{N} \lambda_{i}}\right]=B_{i j}$ for all $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant k$;
- $\left(\frac{\mathbf{N} \lambda_{i}}{q_{j}}\right)=1$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$ and $1 \leqslant j \leqslant \ell$;
- $\left(\frac{\delta^{\prime}}{\delta}\right)_{2}=-1$, where $\delta=\lambda_{1}^{s_{1}} \cdots \lambda_{k}^{s_{k}}$ and $\delta^{\prime}=\eta / \delta$.

Here, $\mathcal{P}$ is the set of primary primes in $\mathbb{Z}[I]$ with positive imaginary part.
In this section, we will give an estimation of the number of $C_{k}(x, \alpha, \mathbf{B})$.
Lemma 5.5. There is a bijection

$$
C_{k}^{\prime}(x, \alpha, \mathbf{B}) \longrightarrow C_{k}(x, \alpha, \mathbf{B}), \quad \eta \mapsto \mathbf{N} \eta
$$

Proof. For any $\eta=\lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{k} \in C_{k}^{\prime}(x, \alpha, \mathbf{B})$, denote by $p_{i}=\mathbf{N} \lambda_{i}$. By the quartic reciprocity law, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{p_{i}}{p_{j}}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{p_{j}}{p_{i}}\right)_{4}=\left(\frac{\lambda_{i} \overline{\lambda_{i}}}{\lambda_{j}}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{\lambda_{j} \overline{\lambda_{j}}}{\lambda_{i}}\right)_{4}=\left(\frac{\lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{j}}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{\overline{\lambda_{i}}}{\lambda_{j}}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{\lambda_{j}}{\lambda_{i}}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{\overline{\lambda_{j}}}{\lambda_{i}}\right)_{4} \\
= & \left(\frac{\lambda_{j}}{\lambda_{i}}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{\lambda_{j}}{\overline{\lambda_{i}}}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{\lambda_{j}}{\lambda_{i}}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{\overline{\lambda_{j}}}{\lambda_{i}}\right)_{4}=\left(\frac{\lambda_{j}}{\lambda_{i}}\right)_{2}\left(\frac{\overline{\lambda_{j}}}{\lambda_{i}}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{\overline{\lambda_{j}}}{\lambda_{i}}\right)_{4}=\left(\frac{\lambda_{j}}{\lambda_{i}}\right)_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left(\frac{d^{\prime}}{d}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{d}{d^{\prime}}\right)_{4}=\left(\frac{\delta^{\prime}}{\delta}\right)_{2}=-1
$$

where $d=\mathbf{N} \delta$ and $d^{\prime}=\mathbf{N} \delta^{\prime}$. Hence $\mathbf{N} \eta \in C_{k}(x, \alpha, \mathbf{B})$.
For any rational prime $p \equiv 1 \bmod 4$, there is exactly one primary prime in $\mathcal{P}$ with norm $p$. This gives the surjectivity. The injectivity is trivial.

Denote by $T_{k}(x)$ the set of all $n=p_{1} \cdots p_{k-1}$ satisfying

- $n \leqslant x$ and $p_{1}<\cdots<p_{k-1} ;$
- $p_{i} \equiv \alpha_{i} \bmod 16$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k-1$;
- $\left[\frac{p_{j}}{p_{i}}\right]=B_{i j}$ for all $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant k-1$;
- $\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{j}}\right)=1$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k-1$ and $1 \leqslant j \leqslant \ell$,
and denote by $T_{k}^{\prime}(x)$ the set of all $\eta=\lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{k-1}$ satisfying
- $\mathbf{N} \eta \leqslant x$ and $\mathbf{N} \lambda_{1}<\cdots<\mathbf{N} \lambda_{k-1} ;$
- $\lambda_{i} \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\mathbf{N} \lambda_{i} \equiv \alpha_{i} \bmod 16$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k-1$;
- $\left[\frac{\mathbf{N} \lambda_{j}}{\mathbf{N} \lambda_{i}}\right]=B_{i j}$ for all $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant k-1$;
- $\left(\frac{\mathbf{N} \lambda_{i}}{q_{j}}\right)=1$ for all $1 \leqslant i<k$ and $1 \leqslant j \leqslant \ell$.

The independence property of Legendre symbols in [Rho09] implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# T_{k}(x) \sim 2^{-(\ell+3)(k-1)-\binom{k-1}{2}} \cdot \# C_{k-1}(x) \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{k}(x)$ is the set of all positive square-free integers $n \leqslant x$ with exactly $k$ prime factors.

Lemma 5.6. There is a bijection

$$
T_{k}^{\prime}(x) \longrightarrow T_{k}(x), \quad \eta \mapsto \mathbf{N} \eta
$$

Proof. For any rational prime $p \equiv 1 \bmod 4$, there is exactly one primary prime in $\mathcal{P}$ with norm $p$. This proves the surjectivity. The injectivity is trivial.
Theorem 5.7. Notations as above with $k>1$. We have

$$
\# C_{k}(x, \alpha, \mathbf{B}) \sim 2^{-k \ell-3 k-1-\binom{k}{2}} \cdot \# C_{k}(x),
$$

where $C_{k}(x)$ is the set of all positive square-free integers $n \leqslant x$ with exactly $k$ prime factors.

Proof. Similar to [CO89], we consider the comparison map

$$
f: C_{k}^{\prime}(x, \alpha, \mathbf{B}) \longrightarrow T_{k}^{\prime}(x), \quad \lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{k} \mapsto \lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{k-1} .
$$

Let $Q_{1}$ be the product of all primary primes $\mu \in \mathcal{P}$ dividing $a b c$, and $Q_{2}$ the product of all prime $q \mid a b c$ with $q \equiv 3 \bmod 4$. For any $\eta=\lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{k-1} \in T_{k}^{\prime}(x)$, denote by $\mathfrak{c}_{\eta}=16 \mathbf{N}\left(\eta Q_{1}\right) Q_{2} \mathbb{Z}[I]$. It's easy to see that if $\beta$ satisfies

- $\mathbf{N} \beta \equiv \alpha_{k} \bmod 16$;
- $\left[\frac{\mathbf{N} \beta}{\mathbf{N} \lambda_{i}}\right]=B_{i k}$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k-1$;
- $\left(\frac{\mathbf{N} \beta}{q_{j}}\right)=1$ for all $1 \leqslant j \leqslant \ell$;
- $\left(\frac{\beta}{\delta}\right)_{2}=-\left(\frac{\eta / \delta}{\delta}\right)_{2}$, where $\delta=\lambda_{1}^{s_{1}} \cdots \lambda_{k}^{s_{k}}$,
then so is $\beta^{\prime} \equiv \beta \bmod 16 \mathbf{N}\left(\eta Q_{1}\right) Q_{2}$. Denote by

$$
\mathscr{A}_{\eta} \subseteq\left(\mathbb{Z}[I] / \mathfrak{c}_{\eta}\right)^{\times}
$$

the classes of such $\beta$. Then $\eta$ lies in the image of $f$ if and only if there exists $\theta \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $\mathbf{N} \lambda_{k-1}<\mathbf{N} \theta \leqslant x / \mathbf{N} \eta$ and $\theta \bmod \mathfrak{c}_{\eta} \in \mathscr{A}_{\eta}$ by noting that $s_{k}=0$.

Lemma 5.8. Let $\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}: G \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2}$ be two different non-trivial quadratic character on a finite group $G$. Then the size of $\chi_{1}^{-1}(i) \cap \chi_{2}^{-1}(j)$ is $\# G / 4$ for any $i, j \in \mathbb{F}_{2}$.
Proof. The sizes of $\chi_{1}^{-1}(i)$ and $\chi_{2}^{-1}(j)$ are $\# G / 2$. Since $\chi_{1} \neq \chi_{2}$, these two sets always have a common element, which means that $\left(\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}\right): G \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2}^{2}$ is surjective. The result then follows.

Lemma 5.9. Assume that $\pi \in \mathcal{P}$ and $p=\mathbf{N} \pi$. Then $\left(\frac{x}{\pi}\right)_{2}$ and $\left(\frac{\mathbf{N} x}{p}\right)$ are different non-trivial quadratic characters on $(\mathbb{Z}[I] / p \mathbb{Z}[I])^{\times}$.

Proof. Since $\mathbf{N}:(\mathbb{Z}[I] / p \mathbb{Z}[I])^{\times} \rightarrow(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$is surjective, $\left(\frac{\mathbf{N} x}{p}\right)$ is non-trivial. Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}[I]$ be an element such that $\pi \gamma \equiv 1 \bmod \bar{\pi}$. Let $x=\overline{\pi \gamma}+\alpha \pi \gamma$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ coprime to $p$. Then

$$
\left(\frac{x}{\pi}\right)_{2}=\left(\frac{\overline{\pi \gamma}}{\pi}\right)_{2}=1
$$

Denote by $A=(\pi \gamma)^{2}+(\overline{\pi \gamma})^{2}$. Then $\mathbf{N}(x) \equiv \alpha A \bmod p$ and

$$
\left(\frac{\mathbf{N} x}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{\alpha A}{p}\right)
$$

Hence $\left(\frac{x}{\pi}\right)_{2} \neq\left(\frac{\mathbf{N} x}{p}\right)$ by taking $\left(\frac{\alpha}{p}\right)=-\left(\frac{A}{p}\right)$.
Lemma 5.10. Let $\varphi(\eta)$ be the cardinality of $G=\left(\mathbb{Z}[I] / \mathfrak{c}_{\eta}\right)^{\times}$. Then

$$
\# \mathscr{A}_{\eta}=2^{-k-\ell-4} \varphi(\eta)
$$

Proof. By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, we have a natural isomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
G & \cong\left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}[I]}{16 \mathbb{Z}[I]}\right)^{\times} \times \prod_{i=1}^{k-1}\left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}[I]}{\mathbf{N} \lambda_{i} \mathbb{Z}[I]}\right)^{\times} \times \prod_{\mu \mid Q_{1}}\left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}[I]}{\mathbf{N} \mu \mathbb{Z}[I]}\right)^{\times} \times \prod_{q \mid Q_{2}}\left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}[I]}{q \mathbb{Z}[I]}\right)^{\times} \\
\beta & \mapsto\left(\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \cdots, \beta_{k-1}, \beta_{\mu}^{\prime}, \beta_{q}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\beta \in \mathscr{A}_{\eta}$ if and only if
(1) $\beta_{0} \equiv 1 \bmod 2+2 I$ and $\mathbf{N} \beta_{0} \equiv \alpha_{k} \bmod 16$;
(2) $\left[\frac{\mathbf{N} \beta_{i}}{\mathbf{N} \lambda_{i}}\right]=B_{i k}$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k-1$;
(3) $\left(\frac{\mathbf{N} \beta_{\mu}^{\prime}}{\mathbf{N} \mu}\right)=1$ for all $\mu \mid Q_{1}$;
(4) $\left(\frac{\mathbf{N} \beta_{q}^{\prime}}{q}\right)=1$ for all $q \mid Q_{2}$;
(5) $\prod_{s_{i}=1}\left(\frac{\beta_{i}}{\lambda_{i}}\right)_{2}=-\left(\frac{\eta / \delta}{\delta}\right)_{2}$.
(1) selects $\frac{1}{4} \times \frac{1}{4}$ number of elements in $(\mathbb{Z}[I] / 16 \mathbb{Z}[I])^{\times}$. Note that $\left(\mathbb{Z}[I] / \lambda_{i} \mathbb{Z}[I]\right)^{\times} \cong$ $\left(\mathbb{Z} / \mathbf{N} \lambda_{i} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{\times}$, each conditions in (2)-(4) selects half number of elements in each corresponding component.

To treat (5), we choose $\beta_{1}, \cdots, \beta_{k-1}$ as following. Since $s_{k}=0$, there is some $s_{j}=1$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant k-1$. For $i=1,2, \cdots, j-1, j+1, \cdots, k-1$, we choose $\beta_{i} \in\left(\mathbb{Z}[I] / N \lambda_{i} \mathbb{Z}[I]\right)^{\times}$satisfying (2), and there are half number of $\left(\mathbb{Z}[I] / N \lambda_{i} \mathbb{Z}[I]\right)^{\times}$choices. With above chosen $\beta_{1}, \cdots, \beta_{j-1}, \beta_{j+1}, \cdots, \beta_{k-1}$, applying Lemmas 5.8 and 5.9 to $\pi=\lambda_{j}$, (5) and $\left[\frac{\mathbf{N} \beta_{j}}{\mathbf{N} \lambda_{j}}\right]=B_{j k}$ selects $\frac{1}{4}$ number of elements in $\left(\mathbb{Z}[I] / N \lambda_{j} \mathbb{Z}[I]\right)^{\times}$. Hence

$$
\frac{\# \mathscr{A}_{\eta}}{\varphi(\eta)}=\frac{1}{16} \times \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \times \frac{1}{2^{\ell}} \times \frac{1}{2}=2^{-k-\ell-4}
$$

For any $\eta \in T_{k}^{\prime}(x)$, denote by $h(\eta)$ the number of primes $\theta \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $\mathbf{N} \lambda_{k-1}<\mathbf{N} \theta \leqslant x / \mathbf{N} \eta$ and $\theta \bmod \mathfrak{c}_{\eta} \in \mathscr{A}_{\eta}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# C_{k}^{\prime}(x, \alpha, \mathbf{B})=\sum_{\eta \in T_{k}^{\prime}(x)} h(\eta) \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by

$$
M_{1}=(\log x)^{100} \quad \text { and } \quad M_{2}=\exp \left(\frac{\log x}{(\log \log x)^{100}}\right)
$$

We will use

$$
\sum_{\mathbf{N} \eta \in S}^{*}
$$

to denote a summation over $\eta \in T_{k}^{\prime}(x)$ with $\mathbf{N} \eta \in S$.
Lemma 5.11. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{20<\mathbf{N} \eta \leqslant M_{1}}^{*} \operatorname{Li}(x / \mathbf{N} \eta)=o\left(\frac{x(\log \log x)^{k-1}}{\log x}\right), \\
& \sum_{M_{2}<\mathbf{N} \eta \leqslant x^{\frac{k-1}{k}}}^{*} \operatorname{Li}(x / \mathbf{N} \eta)=o\left(\frac{x(\log \log x)^{k-1}}{\log x}\right), \\
& \sum_{M_{1}<\mathbf{N} \eta \leqslant M_{2}}^{*} \operatorname{Li}(x / \mathbf{N} \eta) \sim \frac{\# T_{k}^{\prime}(x)}{k-1} \log \log x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The proof is similar to [CO89, Lemma 3.1].
Denote by $\pi(x)$ the number of prime ideals in $\mathbb{Z}[I]$ with norm less than or equal $x$. Then the prime ideal theorem over $\mathbb{Z}[I]$ tells $\pi(x) \sim \operatorname{Li}(x)$. Certainly, $h(\eta) \leqslant$ $\pi(x / \mathbf{N} \eta)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{\mathbf{N} \eta \leqslant 20}^{*} h(\eta) & \ll \operatorname{Li}(x), \\
\sum_{20<\mathbf{N} \eta \leqslant M_{1}}^{*} h(\eta) & =o\left(\frac{x(\log \log x)^{k-1}}{\log x}\right),  \tag{5.9}\\
\sum_{M_{2}<\mathbf{N} \eta \leqslant x^{\frac{k-1}{k}}}^{*} h(\eta) & =o\left(\frac{x(\log \log x)^{k-1}}{\log x}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

by Lemma 5.11. If $\mathbf{N} \eta>x^{\frac{k-1}{k}}$, then $\mathbf{N} \lambda_{k-1}>x^{\frac{1}{k}}$ and $x / \mathbf{N} \eta<x^{\frac{1}{k}}<\mathbf{N} \lambda_{k-1}$. Therefore, $h(\eta)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{x^{\frac{k-1}{k}}<\mathbf{N} \eta \leqslant x}^{*} h(\eta)=0 . \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $\pi^{\prime}(y, \mathscr{B}, \mathfrak{a})$ the number of primes $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}[I]$ such that $\mathbf{N} \theta \leqslant y$ and $\theta \bmod \mathfrak{a} \in \mathscr{B} \subseteq(\mathbb{Z}[I] / \mathfrak{a})^{\times}$. Since $\theta \in \mathcal{P}$ has positive imaginary part, we have

$$
h(\eta)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\pi^{\prime}\left(x / \mathbf{N} \eta, \mathscr{A}_{\eta}, \mathfrak{c}_{\eta}\right)-\pi^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{N} \lambda_{k-1}, \mathscr{A}_{\eta}, \mathfrak{c}_{\eta}\right)\right)+O(\sqrt{x})
$$

Here the error term origins from $-p$ with $p \equiv 3 \bmod 4$ rational prime, and the implicit constant is absolute. By (5.8), (5.9), (5.10) and the facts that

$$
\sum_{M_{1}<\mathbf{N} \eta \leqslant M_{2}}^{*} \pi^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{N} \lambda_{k-1}, \mathscr{A}_{\eta}, \mathfrak{c}_{\eta}\right) \ll M_{2} \operatorname{Li}\left(M_{2}\right)=o\left(\frac{x(\log \log x)^{k-1}}{\log x}\right)
$$

and $M_{2}$ is of much small order than $x^{\frac{1}{4}}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# C_{k}^{\prime}(x, \alpha, B) \sim \frac{1}{2} \sum_{M_{1}<\mathbf{N} \eta \leqslant M_{2}}^{*} \pi^{\prime}\left(x / \mathbf{N} \eta, \mathscr{A}_{\eta}, \mathfrak{c}_{\eta}\right) \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with error term $o\left(\# C_{k}(x)\right)$.
By [Lan94, Theorem 6.1], we have an exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[I]^{\times} \longrightarrow\left(\mathbb{Z}[I] / \mathfrak{c}_{\eta}\right)^{\times} \xrightarrow{\Phi} I\left(\mathfrak{c}_{\eta}\right) / P_{\mathfrak{c}_{\eta}} \longrightarrow 1 \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi(\gamma)=(\gamma) \bmod P_{\mathfrak{c}_{\eta}}$. Denote by $\pi(y, \mathscr{B}, \mathfrak{c})$ the number of prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}$ such that $\mathbf{N p} \leqslant y$ and $\mathfrak{p} \bmod P_{\mathfrak{c}} \in \mathscr{B} \subseteq I(\mathfrak{c}) / P_{\mathfrak{c}}$. Denote by $\mathscr{T}_{\eta}=\Phi\left(\mathscr{A}_{\eta}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi^{\prime}\left(y, \mathscr{A}_{\eta}, \mathfrak{c}_{\eta}\right)=\pi\left(y, \mathscr{T}_{\eta}, \mathfrak{c}_{\eta}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \# \mathscr{A}_{\eta}=\# \mathscr{T}_{\eta} \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

by noting that every prime ideal in a class of $\mathscr{T}$ corresponds to exactly one primary prime element.

Define

$$
\psi(y, \mathscr{B}, \mathfrak{c})=\sum_{\substack{\mathcal{N} a \leq y \\ \mathfrak{a} \bmod P_{\mathfrak{c}} \in \mathscr{B}}} \Lambda(\mathfrak{c})
$$

Then we have the standard asymptotic relation $\psi(y, \mathscr{B}, \mathfrak{c}) \sim \log y \cdot \pi(y, \mathscr{B}, \mathfrak{c})$.
Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \log x \cdot \# C_{k}^{\prime}(x, \alpha, B) \sim \sum_{M_{1}<\mathbf{N} \eta \leqslant M_{2}}^{*} \psi\left(x / \mathbf{N} \eta, \mathscr{T}_{\eta}, \mathfrak{c}_{\eta}\right) \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

by (5.11) and (5.13). By the orthogonality of characters and the exact sequence (5.12), we get

$$
\psi\left(y, \mathscr{T}_{\eta}, \mathfrak{c}_{\eta}\right)=\frac{4}{\varphi(\eta)} \sum_{\chi} \psi(y, \chi) \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \bmod P_{\mathfrak{c}_{\eta}} \in \mathscr{T}_{\eta}} \overline{\chi(\mathfrak{a})},
$$

where $\chi$ runs over all characters of $I\left(\mathfrak{c}_{\eta}\right) / P_{\mathfrak{c}_{\eta}}$ and

$$
\psi(y, \chi)=\sum_{\mathbf{N a} \leqslant y} \Lambda(\mathfrak{a}) \chi(\mathfrak{a})
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \log x \cdot \# C_{k}^{\prime}(x, \alpha, B) \sim S_{1}+S_{2} \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{1} & =\sum_{M_{1}<\mathbf{N} \eta \leqslant M_{2}}^{*} \frac{4 \# \mathscr{T}_{\eta}}{\varphi(\eta)} \psi\left(x / \mathbf{N} \eta, \chi_{0}\right), \\
S_{2} & =\sum_{M_{1}<\mathbf{N} \eta \leqslant M_{2}}^{*} \frac{4}{\varphi(\eta)} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_{0}} \psi(x / \mathbf{N} \eta, \chi) \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \bmod P_{\mathfrak{c}_{\eta}} \in \mathscr{T}_{\eta}} \overline{\chi(\mathfrak{a})} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The main term is

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{1} & =2^{-k-\ell-2} \sum_{M_{1}<\mathbf{N} \eta \leqslant M_{2}}^{*} \psi\left(x / \mathbf{N} \eta, \chi_{0}\right) \quad \text { by Lemma } 5.10 \text { and }(5.13) \\
& \sim 2^{-k-\ell-2} \sum_{M_{1}<\mathbf{N} \eta \leqslant M_{2}}^{*} \log (x / \mathbf{N} \eta) \operatorname{Li}(x / \mathbf{N} \eta) \\
& \sim 2^{-k-\ell-2} \log x \sum_{M_{1}<\mathbf{N} \eta \leqslant M_{2}}^{*} \operatorname{Li}(x / \mathbf{N} \eta) \\
& \sim \frac{\log x \cdot \log \log x}{(k-1) \cdot 2^{k+\ell+2}} \cdot \# T_{k}^{\prime}(x) \quad \text { by Lemma } 5.11 \\
& \sim \frac{\log x \cdot \log \log x}{(k-1) \cdot 2^{k \ell+3 k+\binom{k}{2}} \cdot \# C_{k-1}(x) \quad \text { by Lemma } 5.6 \text { and }(5.7)} \\
& \sim 2^{-k \ell-3 k-\binom{k}{2}} \log x \cdot \# C_{k}(x) \quad \text { by }(1.1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (5.14) and Lemma 5.5, this theorem is reduced to show that $S_{2}$ is an error term. Denote by $\mathfrak{f}$ the conductor of the exceptional primitive conductor with $Z=$ $256 M_{2}$ in Page Theorem 5.4. Then $S_{2}=S_{3}+S_{4}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{3} & =\sum_{\substack{M_{1}<\mathbf{N} \eta \leqslant M_{2} \\
\mathfrak{f | \mathfrak { c } _ { \eta }}}}^{*} \frac{4}{\varphi(\eta)} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_{0}} \psi(x / \mathbf{N} \eta, \chi) \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \bmod P_{\mathfrak{c}_{\eta}} \in \mathscr{T}_{\eta}} \overline{\chi(\mathfrak{a})}, \\
S_{4} & =\sum_{\substack{M_{1}<\mathbf{N} \eta \leqslant M_{2} \\
\mathfrak{f \not f \mathfrak { c } _ { \eta }}}}^{*} \frac{4}{\varphi(\eta)} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_{0}} \psi(x / \mathbf{N} \eta, \chi) \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \bmod P_{\mathfrak{c}_{\eta} \in \mathscr{T}_{\eta}}} \overline{\chi(\mathfrak{a})} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{3} & \ll \sum_{\substack{M_{1}<\mathbf{N} \eta \leqslant M_{2} \\
\mathfrak{f} \mid \mathfrak{c}_{\eta}}}^{*} \psi\left(x / \mathbf{N} \eta, \chi_{0}\right) \ll x \sum_{\substack{M_{1}<\mathbf{N} \eta \leqslant M_{2} \\
\mathfrak{f} \mid c_{\eta}}}^{*}(\mathbf{N} \eta)^{-1} \\
& =\frac{x}{\mathbf{N} \mathfrak{f}} \sum_{M_{1}<t \mathbf{N} \mathfrak{f} \leqslant M_{2}} t^{-1} \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{f} \mid \mathfrak{l}_{\eta} \\
\mathbf{N} \eta t \mathbf{N} \mathfrak{f}}}^{*} 1 \ll \frac{x \log M_{2}}{\mathbf{N} \mathfrak{f}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Page Theorem 5.4 for $Z=256 M_{2}$, there is a positive constant $c_{6}$ such that the Siegel zero $\beta$ of the primitive character with modulus $\mathfrak{f}$ has the property

$$
\beta>1-\frac{c_{6}}{\log 256 M_{2}} .
$$

By Siegel Theorem 5.3 for $F=\mathbb{Q}(I)$, there is a constant $c_{4}=c_{4}(2,1 / 200)>0$ such that

$$
\beta \leqslant 1-c_{4}(4 \mathbf{N} \mathfrak{f})^{-1 / 200} .
$$

Therefore, $\mathbf{N} \mathfrak{f} \gg\left(\log M_{2}\right)^{100}$ and $S_{3} \ll x\left(\log M_{2}\right)^{-99}$ is an error term.
Since there is no Siegel zero in $S_{4}$, we can apply the explicit formula (5.6) with $T=(\mathbf{N} \eta)^{4}$ to all the $\psi(x / \mathbf{N} \eta, \chi)$ in $S_{4}$. Then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi(x / \mathbf{N} \eta, \chi) \ll & x(\mathbf{N} \eta)^{-1}(\log x)^{2} \exp \left(-\frac{c_{7} \log (x / \mathbf{N} \eta)}{\log \mathbf{N} \eta}\right) \\
& +x(\mathbf{N} \eta)^{-5}(\log x)^{2}+x^{1 / 4}(\mathbf{N} \eta)^{-1 / 4} \log (x / \mathbf{N} \eta)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $S_{4} \ll S_{5}+S_{6}+S_{7}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{5}=\sum_{\substack{M_{1}<\mathbf{N} \eta \hbar \leqslant M_{2} \\
\mathrm{f} \not \boldsymbol{c}^{\prime} \eta}}^{*} x(\mathbf{N} \eta)^{-1}(\log x)^{2} \exp \left(-\frac{c_{7} \log (x / \mathbf{N} \eta)}{\log \mathbf{N} \eta}\right), \\
& \ll x(\log x)^{2} \exp \left(-c_{8}(\log \log x)^{100}\right) \cdot \sum_{\substack{M_{1}<\mathbf{N} \eta \leqslant M_{2} \\
\mathrm{f} \nmid c_{n}}}^{*}(\mathbf{N} \eta)^{-1} \\
& \ll x(\log x)^{3} \exp \left(-c_{8}(\log \log x)^{100}\right), \\
& S_{6}=\sum_{\substack{M_{1}<\mathbf{N} \eta \leqslant M_{2} \\
\mathrm{ff} \mathrm{c}_{n}}}^{*} x(\mathbf{N} \eta)^{-5}(\log x)^{2} \ll x(\log x)^{2} M_{1}^{-3} \ll x(\log x)^{-200}, \\
& S_{7}=\sum_{\substack{M_{1}<\mathbf{N} \eta \leqslant M_{2} \\
\mathfrak{f} c_{\eta}}}^{*} x^{1 / 4}(\mathbf{N} \eta)^{-1 / 4} \log (x / \mathbf{N} \eta) \ll x^{1 / 4} \log x \cdot M_{2}^{3 / 4} \ll x^{1 / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $S_{4}$ is also an error term. This finishes the proof.

## 6. Distribution result

Assume that $\operatorname{Sel}_{2}(E / \mathbb{Q}) \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$. Let $n=p_{1} \cdots p_{k}$ be an element in $\mathscr{Q}_{k}(x)$ with $p_{1}<\cdots<p_{k}$. Then $n \in \mathscr{P}_{k}(x)$ if and only if $h_{4}(n)=1$ and $h_{8}(n) \equiv \frac{d-1}{4} \bmod$ 2 , where $d$ is a certain divisor of $n$. As shown in the proof of Theorem 1.1(B), the rank of $\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{A}_{n}$ is $k-1$ or $k-2$.

Assume that $\operatorname{rank} \mathbf{A}=k-2$. As shown in the proof of Theorem 1.1(B), $h_{4}(n)=1$ if and only if $\mathbf{b}_{2} \notin \operatorname{Im} \mathbf{A}$. In this case, $d=p_{1}^{s_{1}} \cdots p_{k}^{s_{k}} \equiv 5 \bmod 8$, where $\operatorname{Ker} \mathbf{A}=$ $\{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d}+\mathbf{1}\}$ and $\mathbf{d}=\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$. We may assume that $s_{k}=0$. By [JY11, Theorem 3.3(ii)], $h_{8}(n)=1$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d}{d^{\prime}}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{d^{\prime}}{d}\right)_{4}=-1 \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d^{\prime}=n / d$.
Assume that $\operatorname{rank} \mathbf{A}=k-1$. Then $h_{4}(n)=1, \mathbf{b}_{2} \in \operatorname{Im} \mathbf{A}$ and $d=p_{1}^{s_{1}} \cdots p_{k}^{s_{k}}$, where $\mathbf{A d}=\mathbf{b}_{2}$ and $\mathbf{d}=\left(s_{1}, \cdots, s_{k}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$. By [JY11, Theorem 3.3(iii), (iv)], $h_{8}(n)=1$ if and only if

$$
\left(\frac{2 d}{d^{\prime}}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{2 d^{\prime}}{d}\right)_{4}=(-1)^{\frac{n-1}{8}}
$$

where $d^{\prime}=n / d$.
Proof of Theorem 1.3. For $k \geqslant 2$, let $\mathscr{B}$ be the set of all symmetric $\mathbf{B} \in M_{k}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$ with rank $k-2$ and $\mathbf{B 1}=\mathbf{0}$. Let $\mathscr{I}$ be the set of all vectors $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}\right)$ with $\alpha_{i} \in\{1,5,9,13\}$ and $\alpha_{1} \cdots \alpha_{k} \equiv 1 \bmod 8$. Denote by $\mathscr{I}_{\mathbf{B}}$ the set of all $\alpha \in \mathscr{I}$ such that $\mathbf{b}(\alpha) \notin \operatorname{Im} \mathbf{B}$, where $\mathbf{b}(\alpha)=\left(\left[\frac{2}{\alpha_{1}}\right], \ldots,\left[\frac{2}{\alpha_{k}}\right]\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$. Since $\alpha_{1} \cdots \alpha_{k} \equiv 1 \bmod 8$, we have $\mathbf{b}(\alpha)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{1}=0$. For any $\mathbf{B} \in \mathscr{B}$ and $\alpha \in \mathscr{I}_{\mathbf{B}}, C_{k}(x, \alpha, \mathbf{B})$ is the set of all $n=p_{1} \cdots p_{k} \in \mathscr{P}_{k}(x)$ satisfying

- $p_{1}<\cdots<p_{k}$ and $\mathbf{A}_{n}=\mathbf{B}$;
- $p_{i} \equiv \alpha_{i} \bmod 16$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$;
- $\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{j}}\right)=1$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$ and $1 \leqslant j \leqslant \ell$
by (6.1). Moreover, if $\mathbf{B} \in \mathscr{B}$ and $\alpha \notin \mathscr{I}_{\mathbf{B}}$, then $C_{k}(x, \alpha, \mathbf{B}) \cap \mathscr{P}_{k}(x)=\emptyset$. Therefore, the number $N_{1}(x)$ of those $n \in \mathscr{P}_{k}(x)$ with $\operatorname{rank} \mathbf{A}_{n}=k-2$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{1}(x)=\sum_{\mathbf{B} \in \mathscr{B}} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathscr{I}_{\mathbf{B}}} \# C_{k}(x, \alpha, \mathbf{B}) \sim 2^{-k \ell-3 k-1-\binom{k}{2}} \cdot \# C_{k}(x) \cdot \sum_{\mathbf{B} \in \mathscr{B}} \# \mathscr{I}_{\mathbf{B}} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

by Theorem 5.7.
Now we count the number of $\mathscr{I}_{\mathbf{B}}$ with given $\mathbf{B}$. Given $\mathbf{b}=\left(b_{1}, \cdots, b_{k}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \notin \operatorname{Im} \mathbf{B}$ with $\mathbf{b}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{1}=0$, the number of $\alpha$ with $\mathbf{b}(\alpha)=\mathbf{b}$ is $2^{k}$. This is because $\alpha_{i}=1,9$ if $b_{i}=0$ and $\alpha_{i}=5,13$ if $b_{i}=1$. Since $\mathbf{B}$ is symmetric and $\mathbf{B 1}=\mathbf{0}$, the size of $\operatorname{Im} \mathbf{B} \subset \mathcal{H}_{n}:=\left\{\mathbf{u}: \mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}=0\right\}$ is $2^{k-2}$. If $\mathbf{b}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{1}=0$ and $\operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{b})=k-1$, then $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{H}_{n}-\operatorname{Im} \mathbf{B}$ has $2^{k-2}$ choices. Consequently, $\# \mathscr{I}_{\mathbf{B}}=2^{2 k-2}$ and then

$$
N_{1}(x) \sim 2^{-k \ell-k-3-\binom{k}{2}} \cdot \# C_{k}(x) \cdot \# \mathscr{B}
$$

Proposition $6.1\left(\left[\mathrm{BCJ}^{+} 06\right]\right)$. Denote by $\mathscr{B}_{k, r}$ the set of $k \times k$ symmetric matrices over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ with rank $r$. Then

$$
\# \mathscr{B}_{k, r}=u_{r+1} 2^{\binom{+1}{2}} \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{k-r-1} \frac{2^{k}-2^{i}}{2^{k-r}-2^{i}}
$$

where $u_{i}$ is defined in Theorem 1.3.
The left-top minor of $\mathbf{B}$ of order $k-1$ induces a bijection $\mathscr{B} \rightarrow \mathscr{B}_{k-1, k-2}$. So $\# \mathscr{B}=\# \mathscr{B}_{k-1, k-2}$ and we get

$$
N_{1}(x) \sim 2^{-k \ell-k-3}\left(1-2^{1-k}\right) u_{k-1} \cdot \# C_{k}(x)
$$

The number $N_{2}(x)$ of $n \in \mathscr{P}_{k}(x)$ with rank $\mathbf{A}_{n}=k-1$ can be obtained similarly:

$$
N_{2}(x) \sim 2^{-k-k \ell-2} u_{k} \cdot \# C_{k}(x)
$$

We refer to our previous paper [Wan17] for more details. This finishes the proof of this theorem.
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